International Journal of Research in Finance and Management

IJRFM 2018; 1(1): 65-70
www.allfinancejournal.com
Received: 02-11-2017
Accepted: 11-12-2017

Subramani SV
Assistant Professor,
Department of Commerce,
Government First Grade
College, Jajur Post, Arsikere.
Karnataka, India

Correspondence
Subramani SV
Assistant Professor,
Department of Commerce,
Government First Grade
College, Jajur Post, Arsikere.
Karnataka, India

Exploring alternative funding options for early-stage entrepreneurs: Beyond venture and debt capital

Subramani SV

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/26175754.2018.v1.i1a.352

Abstract
Due to the extensive coverage of Venture Capital (VC) and Debt Capital (DC) in financial media, entrepreneurs might develop a skewed perception of other funding sources' role and relative significance. Securing VC and DC can be particularly challenging, especially for inexperienced business owners. This article explores alternative funding options for early-stage entrepreneurs, including angel financing, crowdfunding, government grants, incubators, accelerators, and corporate partnerships. It highlights the advantages of these options in terms of control, flexibility, and development support. By examining these alternatives, entrepreneurs can gain insights into effectively using each resource based on their business goals and growth stages. This improves their ability to secure critical capital and helps them navigate the complexity of the startup ecosystem, enabling long-term development and competitive resilience. The article underscores the importance of considering alternative funding sources for entrepreneurs to enhance their financial strategy and sustain business growth.

Keywords: Alternative funding, angel investment, crowdfunding, incubators, accelerators

1. Introduction

Obtaining funding for early-stage start-ups is a complex task, often focusing on Debt Capital (DC) and Venture Capital (VC), (Barry & Worth, 2009) [2] which are seen as indicators of a start-up's potential for quick expansion. However, entrepreneurs can choose from a broader range of alternatives that offer more control, flexibility, and lower entry hurdles. Venture finance and debt financing are selective and often unavailable to start-ups in their early phases, leading to entrepreneurs prioritizing investor demands over cultivating the fundamental aspects of their business. Alternative funding sources, such as personal and informal sources like family and friends, angel investment, crowdfunding, and government support, offer non-dilutive funding, allowing companies to develop new ventures or address social issues without the pressure of rapid financial returns. These alternatives often come with fewer conditions, giving entrepreneurs greater influence over their business decisions.

Alternative finance, which includes non-market and non-bank external sources, is an important funding route for enterprises worldwide, particularly in fast-growing nations, supplementing traditional market and bank financing (Allen, F. et.al 2012) [1]. Venture financing can be attractive but often requires giving up a significant equity stake and accepting guidance (de Bettignies & Brander, 2007) [4] from financiers whose goals may differ from the entrepreneur's. Alternative funding sources allow entrepreneurs to retain more autonomy, align their business strategy with their personal goals and values, and access valuable resources beyond capital. However, alternative funding sources have drawbacks, such as the need for extensive marketing and community engagement efforts, a high level of financial discipline, and the potential complexities of mixing personal and professional relationships when seeking investment from family and friends. Entrepreneurs must carefully choose the funding source that best suits their needs to increase their chances of success and reduce unnecessary risks.

2. Statement of the problem

The startup ecosystem often draws attention to Venture Capital (VC) and Debt Capital (DC), yet early-stage entrepreneurs frequently experience difficulties in obtaining these traditional sources of finance.

This concentration may restrict entrepreneurs' financial strategies and hamper their development potential. Many entrepreneurs, particularly those who are inexperienced or in their early phases, encounter difficulties in obtaining VC and DC because of tough rules and competitive expectations. Alternative funding possibilities include angel investment, crowdsourcing, government subsidies, incubators, accelerators, and corporate partnerships, which provide benefits such as less equity dilution, better control over business choices, and improved development assistance. However, these options pose obstacles such as successful marketing, community participation, and integrating personal and professional networks. Exploring and comprehending these possibilities is critical for entrepreneurs to make educated decisions.

3. Objectives of the study

1. To uncover and evaluate the benefits and drawbacks of alternative funding avenues for early-stage start-ups.
2. To compare alternative funding avenues with traditional financing methods (debt capital and venture capital).
3. To offer objective-based suggestions and recommendations for entrepreneurs in choosing the most appropriate financing source based on their business needs and stage of development.

4. Research Design

This study employs a qualitative research approach and comparative analysis to assess various alternative finance sources for early-stage businesses. Its goal is to provide insights to entrepreneurs so they can make informed judgments about fundraising techniques. The scope covers personal and informal fundraising, angel investment, crowdfunding, government grants, incubators, accelerators, and corporate collaborations. The study examines the utilization of these alternatives by start-ups across different growth stages and sectors.

5. Data Collection

The review of literature for this research article included a thorough analysis of sources such as academic publications, industry reports, and trustworthy web resources for startup finance. Key resources included entrepreneurship and financial periodicals, startup ecosystem reports, government grants, etc. A systematic search was undertaken using terms such as "alternative financing," "angel investment," and "crowdfunding" in databases such as Google Scholar and other open-access journals and articles. Data were gathered and organised into themes such as control, flexibility, growth potential, risk, and additional resources to allow for a thorough comparison of various funding choices for early-stage firms.

6. Data Analysis and interpretation

The comparative analysis method employed in this study assesses alternative funding options using factors such as control and autonomy, flexibility, growth potential, risk assessment, and additional advantages such as access to resources and strategic alliances. Each funding source, including angel investment and crowdfunding, was evaluated based on these criteria, with angel investment rated for mentorship and networking possibilities and crowdfunding for market validation and community involvement. The findings were evaluated in the context of the larger startup ecosystem, with a particular emphasis on how these alternative funding sources may be strategically employed to supplement or replace established financing techniques.

7. Limitations of the study

The key limitation of this research is the reliance on secondary data and the research is qualitative, which limits the ability to generalize findings across all startups or industries.

8. Detailed Discussion of Each Funding Source

8.1 Personal and Informal Sources (Family and Friends)

Entrepreneurs often rely on personal and unofficial sources of finance, such as friends and family, during the early stages of their business. These sources are crucial for launching their businesses, creating prototypes, and covering operating expenses until larger investments or institutional backing are secured. The personal connections and trust formed by these sources make it easier to leverage this funding, enabling quicker and more flexible financial support during a startup's early stages.

8.1.1 Merits

1. **Trust and Flexibility:** Friends and family funding offers entrepreneurs trust, flexibility, and less formalities, (Lee & Persson, 2016) [8] allowing them to overcome early-stage obstacles and focus on long-term growth without constant pressure for quick returns. This allows for more time to create a business plan and improve products and services.
2. **A little Demand for Quick Returns:** Receiving funding from friends and family reduces the pressure for quick financial returns (Hancock, 2010) [6]**,** allowing business owners to focus on developing their business plan, improving their products and services, and achieving long-term growth without constant profit-driven pressure.
3. **Speedy funds Access:** Informal and personal finance sources offer faster and less bureaucratic funding access compared to standard fundraising channels, enabling enterprises to capitalize on opportunities and meet urgent needs quickly.

8.1.2 Demerits

1. **Expected Stress on Relationships:** The combination of personal and professional ties can lead to stress (Eager & Maritz, 2011) [5], especially if the startup experiences setbacks or falls short of projections, potentially causing long-term strife among family and friends.
2. **Minimum Fundraising Amounts:** Startups often have limits on fundraising from friends and relatives, which can restrict their ability to invest in expansion, build their business, or capitalize on significant opportunities requiring larger sums of money.
3. **Lack of Corporate Supervision:** Personal funds lack professional oversight and due diligence, potentially hindering a startup's success due to a lack of business acumen or strategic direction, unlike official investors.

8.2 Angel Investment

Individual investors, sometimes known as angel investors, offer financial support to early-stage firms or entrepreneurs. These investors are often wealthy people who contribute personal funds in return for stock (ownership) in the firm, convertible debt, or additional financial instruments. Angel investors frequently contribute not just funding but also mentorship (Karaarslan *et al.,* 2016) [7], connections to the industry, and business guidance to help startups thrive. Usually, angel investors are rich individuals expecting a higher financial return than typical investment alternatives. They look for firms with innovative ideas and spend their own resources to help them prosper. Angel investment is typically made in the early phases of a company's growth, often before it gets access to venture capital or other types of institutional investment. This form of financing can be critical for firms that require initial money to get off-started and meet early milestones.

8.2.1 Merits

1. **Access to Capital:** Angel investors provide the essential early-stage capital that businesses need to launch their plans, allowing them to develop products, hire key employees, and achieve their business objectives. Achieving important milestones may need this preliminary investment.
2. **Mentoring and Expertise:** A lot of angel investors contribute more than simply capital; they also provide insightful counsel, industry contacts, and mentoring. Their networks and experience can assist businesses in surmounting their initial challenges and creating new opportunities.
3. **Flexible Terms:** Compared to bank loans or typical venture capitalists, angel investors frequently provide more flexible terms. They could provide more liberal terms, longer return periods, and better valuation terms, which could be advantageous for firms with strong growth potential but little immediate cash flow.

8.2.2 Demerits

1. **Ownership Dilution:** Startups may lose some stock, potentially limiting founders' ownership and future revenues.
2. **Conflict:** Angel investors may influence business decisions, potentially leading to disputes if their vision differs from the founders'.
3. **Limited Capital:** Angel investors' contributions are often less than venture capital funds, requiring more rounds of funding, which can be time-consuming.

8.3 Crowdfunding

Crowdfunding is a modern method of raising capital by collecting small contributions from large populations, typically via online platforms. Instead of depending solely on a few wealthy investors, this technique leverages the internet and social media to mobilise small amounts of money from a broad audience interested in supporting the project or idea. Crowdfunding may be various methods, including equity, reward, donation, and lending, to support startups, causes, and individuals, catering to different needs and supporters. Depending on the model and approach, crowdfunding has a number of benefits and drawbacks. It is summarised below.

8.3.1 Advantages

1. **Access to Capital:** For new businesses, initiatives, and causes that might not be eligible for conventional finance, crowdfunding offers an alternate source of funding.
2. **Market validation** enables innovators and companies to determine consumer demand and evaluate concepts or goods before allocating substantial amounts of money to them.
3. **Community Involvement:** Crowdfunding facilitates the development of a network of supporters and supporters who have a stake in the project's success.
4. **Marketing & Exposure:** Campaigns are a great way to contact potential supporters or consumers since they frequently receive a lot of media coverage and high visibility.
5. **Flexibility:** Different crowdfunding types (Loan, Equity, Donation, and Reward) provide unique opportunities to interact with potential supporters and address a variety of financial requirements.

8.3.2 Demerits

1. **Time-consuming:** Launching an effective campaign involves considerable investments of time and energy in promotion, updates, and connection with backers.
2. **Uncertain Outcomes:** There is no assurance of success and numerous campaigns fail to meet their fundraising targets, possibly wasting time and money.
3. **Fees and Costs:** Platforms often charge fees for administering campaigns, which might lower the overall amount raised. In addition, there may be expenses associated with reward or equity allocation.
4. **Legal and regulatory risks:** Various crowdfunding methods have different legal and regulatory requirements, and noncompliance might result in complications or penalties.

8.4 Government Grants and Support

The "Startup India" program was propelled by the Indian government in 2016 with the objective of empowering business and development. It offers a number of advantages, including tax exemptions, compliance with self-certification, and financial assistance through government-backed funding and schemes.

8.4.1 Merits

1. **Non-Dilutive Funding:** Government funds often do not demand stock, allowing businesses to keep complete ownership and management of their company. This can be especially useful for ensuring long-term effective control and direction.
2. **Industry-specific assistance**: Many government grants are intended to help certain businesses or sectors, offering focused assistance that may be quite relevant to the startup's area of concentration.

8.4.2 Demerits

1. **Aggressive and lengthy Application Process:** Submitting for government grants may be extremely competitive, with complex procedures for applying.

Startups may encounter lengthy and cumbersome procedures, making it difficult to obtain capital.

2. **Adherence and Reporting:** The recipients of grants are often obliged to follow tight compliance and reporting guidelines. This can increase administrative obligations and divert funds that could otherwise be used for business growth.

8.5 Incubators and Accelerators

Incubators and accelerators provide startup support through advice and services, that differentiate from venture capital firms by offering office space and daily interaction to address challenges faced by startups (Stagars, M. 2014) [12]. Early-stage entrepreneurs can grow their company concepts and make them into successful enterprises with the help of incubators. On the other side, accelerators are made to help businesses expand quickly to the next stage. Startups may enhance their firm's outcomes by utilising the resources provided by incubators and accelerators. Usually, they offer resources and skills for the growth of new businesses inside the ecosystem of entrepreneurs. Accelerators and incubators persist to be crucial to the expansion of the Indian startup environment. According to a recent report by NASSCOM titled "Incubators/Accelerators Driving the Growth of Indian Startup Ecosystem-2017," which highlights the crucial role that incubators and accelerators play in the startup perspective, the number of incubators and accelerators has increased by 40%, with over forty freshly added in 2016.

8.5.1 Merits

The greatest features of both incubator and accelerator programs are combined in a hybrid program to provide businesses with all-encompassing support:

1. **Flexible Duration:** Offers both short-term, intense growth assistance and long-term development.
2. **Personalised but Structured:** Provides a customised mentorship program together with a structured curriculum.
3. **Resource Access:** Provides start-up funds, office space, and tools.
4. **Opportunities for networking:** Links entrepreneurs with a large network of business leaders and financiers.
5. **milestone-based progress:** Startups may go through milestones at their own speed
6. **High-Visibility Events:** Offers investor exposure through demo days and further assistance following the program.
7. Wellbeing Support: Offers tools for stress reduction and upholding a healthy work-life balance.

8.5.2 Demerits

Although advantageous, the hybrid incubator-accelerator paradigm may have certain drawbacks.

1. **Complex Framework:** The combination of both short- and long-term support could result in a complicated program that may be challenging for startups to manage effectively.
2. **Allocating Resources:** Balancing the demands of long-term growth with those of quick expansion can be difficult, potentially reducing the effectiveness of both strategies.
3. **Equity Dilution:** Both incubator and accelerator programs may require startups to provide equity, which could lead to significant ownership dilution.
4. **Strain and Stress:** The mix of extended support and intense development phases may add extra strain and stress for startup founders.
5. **Variable Commitment:** The dual nature of the program might lead to varying levels of commitment from different startups, potentially causing underutilization of available resources.
6. **Integration Problems:** Combining incubator and accelerator approaches might result in delivery inconsistencies and integration issues.

8.6 Corporate Partnerships

Corporate partnerships are collaborations between companies aimed at achieving shared objectives like cost savings, innovation, or market expansion (Oukes & von Raesfeld, 2016) [11]. They can take various forms, such as joint ventures, supply chain integrations, marketing alliances, research and development programs, and social responsibility efforts. These partnerships help companies gain a competitive edge, enter new markets, and spur growth by sharing risks and opportunities. To succeed, these partnerships require clear goals, strong communication, and strategic alignment.

8.6.1 Merits

1. **Availability to Resources:** Through corporate alliances, businesses may gain substantial access to resources such as technical know-how, insights into the industry, and supply channels. These tools can promote expansion and assist new businesses in overcoming operational obstacles.
2. **Business Collaborations:** Joining up with well-established businesses may help a startup gain reputation and open opportunities to emerging markets and commercial prospects. Additionally, strategic partnerships can provide insightful market data and contacts inside the business.

8.6.2 Demerits

1. **Losing of Control:** A corporate partner may have a significant impact on the choices and activities of the company, which might result in conflicts of interest or misaligned strategies.
2. **Disagreements of Interest:** Operational conflicts may arise when a startup aligns itself with a corporate partner whose objectives or beliefs diverge from its own. These variations could make it more difficult for the startup to stick to its original plan of action or follow its own vision.

9. Results and Discussion

Family and friend funding often serves as a crucial starting point for new businesses. For example, Warby Parker began with contributions from close friends, family, and personal savings. They utilized this early funding to develop their prototype and later attracted venture financing. Similarly, Sara Blakely of Spanx launched her company with family loans and personal savings, laying the groundwork for additional investments. Emerging trends include personalized investments offered by family offices and the

global expansion of personal fundraising through digital platforms such as Kickstarter and GoFundMe. These platforms underscore the continued significance of personal finance in business growth by offering substantial early-stage funding and validation.

Crowdfunding has revolutionized startup finance by enabling business owners to raise money from a worldwide audience. For instance, in 2012, Oculus VR successfully raised over $2 million on Kickstarter, demonstrating the viability of its concept and attracting venture funding that ultimately led to Facebook purchasing the company (Kickstarter, n.d.). Similarly, the Pebble Smartwatch raised over $10 million, setting a Kickstarter funding record (Kickstarter, n.d.). Platforms like Indiegogo, Kickstarter, and GoFundMe facilitate access to capital for a wide variety of projects.

Angel investment provides entrepreneurs with critical early-stage capital and guidance. Angel investors, unlike venture capitalists, provide both cash and important industry contacts to early-stage companies. Early backers like Andy Bechtolsheim were instrumental in Google's success, while Jeff Bezos's connections enabled Amazon to expand beyond its beginnings as an online bookstore. With the advent of networks and platforms like AngelList, which enhance accessibility and expedite the investment process, angel investing is evolving. The integration of technology and syndicated investment is enhancing angels' assessment and support of startups, highlighting their role in fostering innovation and successful entrepreneurship.

Government Grants support fields such as research and entrepreneurship by providing non-repayable funding for projects aligned with public objectives. These grants come with specific restrictions to ensure public benefit. Current trends emphasize innovation, sustainability, and inclusivity, with growing support for underrepresented entrepreneurs. Grants are attractive for programs addressing global challenges and aligning with public policy goals, offering essential funding without the need for repayment.

Incubators and accelerators assist entrepreneurs at various stages of development. Incubators provide early-stage entrepreneurs with long-term support, including resources like office space and mentorship, to refine their concepts. For example, Y Combinator has nurtured successful businesses such as Dropbox and Airbnb. Accelerators like Techstars offer intensive, short-term support to rapidly scale proven firms, culminating in demo days for investor pitches. Both models are essential for fostering innovation, with incubators nurturing early ideas and accelerators driving growth and scalability.

Corporate Partnerships leverage the resources and expertise of established businesses to collaborate with startups. These alliances encompass corporate venture capital, joint ventures, and strategic partnerships. For instance, PepsiCo and Starbucks partnered to expand distribution, while IBM and Apple collaborated on enterprise solutions. Trends in corporate partnerships indicate a shift towards utilizing corporate resources to address global concerns and promote inclusive growth, emphasizing digital transformation, sustainability, and diversity.

10. Conclusion

The study suggests that early-stage entrepreneurs should consider diversifying their funding sources beyond traditional channels like debt finance and venture capital. alternative funding is posing new challenges to traditional finance due to its quick expansion, larger transaction values, and expanded number of ventures and funders, prompting a rethinking of market strategy (Monika, *et al*. 2015) [10]. Alternative funding sources like corporate partnerships, government grants, angel investment, crowdfunding, incubators, and accelerators offer flexibility, control, and strategic alignment with business objectives. These options allow entrepreneurs to secure funding early in their projects' lifespan while maintaining independence and promoting long-term expansion. Startups often have non-diluted ownership, with one or two final owners, leading to concentrated control. In contrast, control dilution is more common in organizations with many owners due to various factors (Bennedsen, M., Fosgerau, M., & Wolfenzon, D. 2000) [3]. Government grants provide non-dilutive funding for innovation, incubators and accelerators provide resources and networking opportunities, angel investors offer financial support, mentorship, and community engagement. In the future, it is anticipated that the combination of Digital platforms and syndicated investment networks are expected to democratize capital access, enabling entrepreneurs worldwide. Financing programs are prioritizing sustainability, inclusion, and innovation. Strategic planning and thorough assessment of funding options are crucial for businesses in these changing environments. By using alternative funding sources, entrepreneurs can strengthen their financial standing, accelerate business growth, and establish their companies for sustained success in the competitive startup scene.

11. References

1. Allen F, Qian J, Carletti E, Valenzuela P. Financial intermediation, markets, and alternative financial sectors. SSRN Electronic Journal; c2012. Available from: https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2029082
2. Barry CB, Worth F. Debt financing, venture capital, and the performance of initial public offerings; c2009.
3. Bennedsen M, Fosgerau M, Wolfenzon D. Control dilution and distribution of ownership. Department of Economics, Copenhagen Business School. Working Paper No. 16-2000; c2000.
4. Bettignies DJE, Brander JA. Financing entrepreneurship: Bank finance versus venture capital. J Bus Venturing. 2007;22(6):808-832. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2006.07.005
5. Eager B, Maritz A. Entrepreneurial stress: a conceptual model. In: 8th International AGSE Entrepreneurship Research Exchange; c2011. p. 1173-85.
6. Hancock G. The relevance of pecking order theory to friend and family financing. In: AGSE; c2010. p. 207-219.
7. Karaarslan MH, Bayar Y, Ozdeveci C. Post-investment contributions of business angels from the investee perspective in Turkey. Int J Bus Admin. 2016;7(3):44-56. Available from: https://doi.org/10.5430/ijba.v7n3p44
8. Lee S, Persson P. Financing from family and friends. Rev Financ Stud. 2016;29(9):2341-2386. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhw031

9. Megha J. Entrepreneurships and startup programmes: opportunities in travel and tourism; c2017. Available from: https://doi.org/10.12727/AJTS.18.3
10. Klimontowicz M, Harasim J. Tendencje rozwojowe na rynku alternatywnych instrumentów finansowych; c2015. Available from: https://doi.org/10.17951/H.2015.49.4.227
11. Oukes T, von Raesfeld A. Startups networking: how and when to act? In: IMP Symposium; c2016. p. 24. Available from: http://purl.utwente.nl/publications/96106
12. Stagars M. Incubators and accelerators. In: University Startups and Spin-Offs; c2014. p. 131-136. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4842-0623-2_13