



# International Journal of Research in Finance and Management

P-ISSN: 2617-5754  
E-ISSN: 2617-5762  
Impact Factor (RJIF): 5.32  
IJRFM 2024; 7(2): 661-666  
[www.allfinancejournal.com](http://www.allfinancejournal.com)  
Received: 05-08-2024  
Accepted: 07-08-2024

**Pragya Pandey**  
Research Scholar, Department  
of Commerce, SPM Govt.  
Degree College, A Constituent  
College of University of  
Allahabad, Prayagraj, Uttar  
Pradesh, India

**Dr. Pragya Singh**  
Assistant Professor,  
Department of Commerce,  
SPM Govt. Degree College,  
A Constituent College of  
University of Allahabad,  
Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh,  
India

**Correspondence Author:**  
**Pragya Pandey**  
Research Scholar, Department  
of Commerce, SPM Govt.  
Degree College, A Constituent  
College of University of  
Allahabad, Prayagraj, Uttar  
Pradesh, India

## Comparative study of traditional mobile banking and Ai-Driven mobile banking systems

**Pragya Pandey and Pragya Singh**

**DOI:** <https://www.doi.org/10.33545/26175754.2024.v7.i2g.546>

### Abstract

This paper analyzes the evolution of mobile banking technologies and their impact on the global banking industry. It compares traditional banking systems, characterized by SMS, USSD, and basic application functionalities, with next-generation, AI-powered platforms that incorporate machine learning, natural language processing, and predictive analytics. The analysis is based on technological architecture, user experience, operational efficiency, security mechanisms, and scalability.

Through a combination of literature reviews and case studies from Bank of America, HDFC Bank, and digital-only banks like Revolut, the paper demonstrates how AI-enhanced mobile banking is improving personalization, enabling near real-time customer support, intelligent fraud detection, and data-driven decision-making. In contrast, traditional systems are valued for their simplicity, minimal technological requirements, and reliability in low-connectivity environments.

The study traces the development of mobile banking from basic systems (SMS and app-based transactions) to AI-driven solutions, including chatbots, predictive analytics, and voice-assisted banking. It contrasts these paradigms based on features, user experience, security, adoption, and challenges. The paper concludes that while AI-enhanced mobile banking offers improved personalization, efficiency, and scalability, it also raises concerns regarding data privacy and algorithmic transparency.

**Keyword:** Digital banking, technology, mobile, customer support, safety

### Introduction

Massive changes have occurred to the banking sector in the last two decades or so due to fast developments in digital technology and massive adoption of mobile devices. Within these changes, mobile banking has been the most prominent modern trend, permitting users to gain access to seemingly convenient financial services right from their smartphones, tablets, or even simple mobile phones. Initially seen as an alternate platform of internet banking, conventional forms of mobile banking possessed limited functionality like balance checks, bill payments, and fund transfers by means of SMS or USSD codes. These basic systems played a substantial role in expanding the reach of banking services to unsophisticated users unable to access bank branches, especially in rural or less developed areas.

With the changing phases of technology came the changing nature and scope of mobile banking. Furthermore, the advent of artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning, natural language processing (NLP), and big data analytics gave birth to intelligent AI-driven mobile banking systems. These systems execute beyond mere transaction-based systems, extending the level of financial insight personalization, real-time fraud detection, voice-enabled service, and customer service automation through AI chatbots. Digital assistants such as Erica (Bank of America) and Eva (HDFC Bank) are reshaping the user experience with the financial sector, moving away from retrospective service delivery to predictive management of finance. The current study, therefore, intends to look comparatively at traditional mobile banking and AI-based mobile banking in response to questions regarding the features, benefits, limitations, and effects of these systems on the banking experience. This comparison becomes all the more relevant against the backdrop of rising digital reliance by customers, the shifting behavior of consumer expectations, and an increased focus on security, speed, and personalization within the realm of financial services.

In an age when convenience, speed, and automation have become ubiquitous, the

understanding of strengths and weaknesses held by both these banking paradigms-a dimension vital for realizing full potential of mobile financial technology-will benefit not

only financial institutions excited about modernization, but policymakers, developers, and consumers alike.

### Literature review

| S/N | Author(s) & Year                               | Title  | Key Findings  | Relevance to Study  |
|-----|--|--|---|---|
| 1   | Zhou, T. (2012) <sup>[23]</sup>                | Understanding users' initial trust in mobile banking | Trust is built through usability and perceived security in traditional systems.         | Establishes baseline for comparing how AI shifts trust through automation and prediction. |
| 2   | Lu <i>et al.</i> (2011) <sup>[15]</sup>        | Trust transfer in mobile payment services            | Highlights limitations in adaptability and dynamic trust in early mobile banking.       | Contrast point to AI's ability to personalize and build dynamic trust models.             |
| 3   | Buhalis & Sinarta (2019) <sup>[5]</sup>        | Real-time co-creation and AI service                 | AI and big data enable personalized, real-time services.                                | Shows how AI enhances customer experience in mobile apps.                                 |
| 4   | Khan & Alshamrani (2020) <sup>[14]</sup>       | Mobile banking adoption using TAM                    | User behavior in traditional banking is driven by ease of use and perceived usefulness. | Provides metrics for comparing user acceptance between traditional and AI systems.        |
| 5   | Nguyen <i>et al.</i> (2016) <sup>[17]</sup>    | Innovation and performance in banking                | Innovation (including tech like AI) improves service performance.                       | Supports operational efficiency comparison between systems.                               |
| 6   | Chatterjee <i>et al.</i> (2021) <sup>[6]</sup> | AI in banking: A literature review                   | Reviews AI's impact and challenges in modern banking.                                   | Directly informs the AI side of the comparative analysis.                                 |
| 7   | Ghosh (2020) <sup>[10]</sup>                   | Machine learning in risk assessment                  | AI improves fraud detection, credit scoring, and risk prediction.                       | Useful for analyzing security and risk management differences.                            |
| 8   | Bansal & Kumar (2022) <sup>[4]</sup>           | AI-powered chatbots in banking                       | Chatbots enhance service delivery and user engagement.                                  | Compares AI-driven customer support with traditional human agents.                        |
| 9   | Pousttchi & Dehnert (2018) <sup>[19]</sup>     | Digitalization and consumer decision-making          | Digital tools shift decision-making toward automation and user empowerment.             | Helps evaluate how AI affects customer control and choice.                                |
| 10  | Arner <i>et al.</i> (2016) <sup>[2]</sup>      | The evolution of fintech                             | Tracks shift from manual to AI-enhanced banking post-financial crisis.                  | Supports historical and contextual background for study.                                  |

### Objectives

1. Define traditional mobile banking systems and AI-driven mobile banking systems.
2. Indicate the barriers to adoption (i.e., trust, privacy, and infrastructure) in each system.
3. Contrast usability, accessibility, and customer satisfaction of both systems.
4. Identify insights and recommendations for financial institutions, regarding the transition from traditional to AI-enhanced Mobile Banking Systems.

### Research methodology

This study uses a qualitative comparative research design based entirely on secondary data sources. The research involves systematic analysis of existing literature, case studies, industry reports, and academic publications to compare traditional mobile banking systems with AI-driven mobile banking platforms.

### Traditional Mobile Banking: Overview and Limitations

Traditional mobile banking is the service that was considered one of the earliest instances of the digital services offered by banks and financial institutions through mobile devices. These services acted as the link between offline banking and online banking, coming into being mostly in the later 1990s and early 2000s. At this stage, mobile banking was not dependent on internet applications; rather, it would perform simple banking functions using text messaging (SMS), USSD codes, and basic mobile application methods. The systems would allow users to do simple things such as balance inquiries, fund transfers, or receiving transaction alerts.

Widespread mobile usage not only made these services a convenient tool in the financial inclusion agenda, even in rural and underserved areas. Many individuals in impoverished regions were traditionally initiated into formal banking systems through mobile banking, thereby

contributing an important role toward initial inclusion into the financial arena.

### Modes of Traditional Mobile Banking

Traditional Mobile banking can be divided into the three major modes outlined here, based on the technology on which they operate:

- a) **SMS Banking** SMS Banking entails sending messages from mobile phones with keywords and alerts to the bank-defined number by the bank. This allows users to either request their account balance, receive mini-statements, and say requests for deposits and withdrawals.
- b) **USSD Banking** USSD Banking facilitates real-time end-user and bank interaction using a short code. That is, in India, \*99# would mean using a service provided by the number of banks without internet access. This is interactive, works on feature phones, and does not require a SIM card with data.
- c) **Basic Mobile Applications:** In the wake of cheap smartphone proliferation, banks started giving the basic apps with very limited access to banking functions. They were generally slow, with a lack of design focus and features compared to today's smart apps.

### Key Features and Services Offered

The services that catered to traditional mobile banking were targeting basic banking needs:

- **Balance Inquiry and Mini Statement:** Users could inquire about their balance or receive a summary of recent transactions
- **Intra-bank Fund Transfers:** Service were able to transfer small-value funds between registered accounts within the same bank only
- **Mobile Recharge and Utility Bill Payment:** Users were topping up either their mobile balance or electricity and water bill

- **SMS Alerts and Notifications:** Automated alerts of account activities provided users with real-time surveillance of their funds.
- **Service Requests:** Some systems allowed for simple service functions like requesting a cheque book or blocking a card.

### Benefits of Traditional Mobile Banking

Despite its limited capabilities compared to modern systems, traditional mobile banking offered several advantages, especially in developing regions:

- Accessibility:** Traditional mobile banking worked on all mobile phones, including basic feature phones. This enabled access for individuals who did not own smartphones or had no internet connectivity.
- Financial Inclusion:** By removing the need for a physical bank visit or internet access, these services brought banking to remote and unbanked populations. This was particularly impactful in Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia.
- Low Operational Cost:** For banks, offering USSD or SMS-based services was significantly cheaper than maintaining physical infrastructure or call center.
- Convenience:** Customers could perform transactions from anywhere, without standing in queues or depending on branch hours.

### Limitations and Challenges

While traditional mobile banking played a foundational role in the digitization of financial services, it faces several inherent limitations that restrict its scalability and relevance in today's fast-evolving digital environment. These constraints are both technological and operational, affecting user satisfaction, security, and overall effectiveness.

- Limited Functionality**  
Traditional mobile banking services were designed to support only basic banking functions such as checking balances, viewing mini-statements, and transferring funds between accounts. Advanced features like investment management, AI-powered financial planning, or digital onboarding are not available in these systems. As customer expectations have evolved toward seamless, full-service digital banking, traditional platforms have struggled to keep pace.
- Poor User Experience (UX)**  
Interfaces like USSD and SMS are text-based and non-intuitive. Users must memorize specific codes or keywords, and navigation often involves multiple steps or menu levels. This makes the system less user-friendly, particularly for elderly individuals or those with low digital literacy. The lack of graphical user interfaces (GUIs) significantly hinders engagement, especially among smartphone users accustomed to modern app-based experiences.
- Security Vulnerabilities**  
Traditional mobile banking systems often lack robust security protocols. SMS and USSD communications are typically not encrypted, leaving them vulnerable to interception or spoofing. Additionally, user authentication mechanisms (like PINs or account numbers) can be easily compromised if the device is lost or stolen. These weak security measures expose

users to various risks, including unauthorized access, phishing attacks, and SIM swap fraud.

- No Personalization**

Conventional systems provide a standardized experience for all users, regardless of their financial behavior or preferences. There is no analysis of transaction patterns, spending habits, or savings goals to offer tailored recommendations. This one-size-fits-all model limits user engagement and prevents banks from delivering proactive or intelligent financial guidance.

- Inconsistent Service Quality**

USSD and SMS services rely heavily on mobile network operators for connectivity. As a result, service interruptions due to poor network signals or technical downtime are common, especially in remote or rural areas. Additionally, system overloads during peak usage hours can lead to slow response times or transaction failures, further eroding user confidence.

### AI-Driven Mobile Banking Systems: Overview and limitations

The advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has long turned mobile banking from a transactional utility to an intelligent, predictive, and extremely personalized financial ecosystem. AI-powered mobile banking means leveraging ML, NLP, RPA, and predictive analytics for improving service delivery, operational efficiency, and customer satisfaction. In this segment, we shall speak about AI-integrated mobile banking platforms and their technological features and innovations.

#### a. Intelligent Virtual Assistants and Chatbots.

By far, one of the most adopted AI applications in mobile banking is deploying intelligent chatbots and virtual assistants. These AIs can understand naturally stated user inquiries, respond to the customers in real-time, interact with systems on their behalf for checking balances, scheduling payments, or simply assisting them with financial advice.

#### Examples

- Bank of America's Erica offers a conversational AI to provide transaction insights, bill reminders, and budget suggestions.
- HDFC Bank's Eva handles millions of customer queries with an impressive accuracy rate while reducing human resource dependency.
- Advantages:
  - 24/7 customer service
  - Instant query resolution
  - Decreased workload on contact centre.

#### b. Personalized Financial Management

With AI, the banks can offer tailored financial services based on user behavior, spending patterns, transaction history, and life goals. AI algorithms, on the other hand, analyze large sets of data in real time to give users personalized budgeting tools, saving tips, and investment recommendations.

#### Highlights of functionality

- Budget categorization and alerts

- Dynamic saving plans
- Credit score tracking and improvement suggestions
- Personalized offers/rewards

This much personalization empowers the banks to create a stronger and fostering relationship with customers, thereby encouraging user engagement.

### c. Predictive Analytics and Proactive Alerts

AI-driven systems can predict future customer needs or financial risks before they materialize. For instance, an AI engine might realize that one of a user's utility bills has been increasing each month and, thus, recommend tweaking that user's budget or alerting them ahead of an expected overdraft.

### Commonly used for

- Forecasting cash flows and spending trends
- Failing to inform users of due bills or low balances
- Detecting unusual overspending patterns
- Providing early alerts for fraud or identity theft

### d. Prevents Fraud Advanced in Detection and Risk Management

AI adds various layers of security by analyzing user behavior and transaction patterns and device data for any anomalies in real-time, thus it stays apart concerning rule-based detection from those which can lend themselves into being taught and moulded according to innumerable threats via newly acquired data.

### Applications

- Flagging of suspicious logins based on geolocation, device ID, or IP address
- Blocking unusual transactions before completion
- Highlighting potential phishing or scam attempts
- Providing real-time risk scoring on credit applications or transactions
- This proactive risk management mitigates fraud losses and promotes user confidence.

### e. Voice and Multimodal Interface

With the advent of voice recognition and NLP, banks have now enabled users to further interact with their banking apps through voice commands. This is especially useful for users with disabilities or those seeking hands-free access.

### Examples

- Users can check balances or make payments by voice through Alexa Skills or Google Assistant integration.
- Some banks are enabling management of the accounts through wearable devices using voice or biometric authentication.

Interface supports accessibility, convenience, and inclusion.

### f. Automated Loan and Credit Services

AI-based platforms are able to assess a user's creditworthiness on the spot using alternate data sources, including mobile usage, bill payments, and transactional history, allowing banks to issue pre-approved loans, offer

dynamic interest rates, and provide superfast loan approval.

### Benefits

- Faster decision-making and disbursal
- Much Fair credit assessment for underbanked users
- Minimized paperwork and bureaucracy

Such an automated system helps broaden access to credits with lower administrative costs.

### g. Ecosystem and API Integration

AI-enabled mobile banking platforms target APIs (Application Programming Interfaces) for connectivity with third-party applications, fintech platforms, investment tools, and digital wallets. This interconnected ecosystem allows users to consolidate his/her entire financial life via one mobile interface.

### Commonly Integrated For

- Robo-advisors for investment planning
- Insurance premium calculators
- Tax management and filing services
- E-commerce and rewards systems

### h. Continuous Learning and Self-Improvement

AI systems are distinguished by their ability to learn and adapt. AI-based mobile banking applications advance and improve their recommendations, fraud alerts, and customer interactions through constant collection of user data and feedback.

### Implications

- Overall improvement in system performance.
- Decrease in false fraud detentions and support tickets.
- Increased accuracy for personalization.

AI changed this scenario, making mobile banking transition from a mere utility into a more of a smart financial assistant to the user. By endowing this AI-driven mobile banking system with Machine Learning, automation, predictive modelling, and more personalized user interfaces, mobile banking systems provide unparalleled convenience, safety, and immediacy. Such advancements not only lead to enhanced user satisfaction but also to greater operational efficiency for banks, making the AI integration the very essence for the future of digital finance.

### Challenges of AI-Driven Mobile Banking

Nonetheless, it faces newer challenges with its advantages:

#### a. Concerns about Data Privacy and Security

AI-based systems would depend on customer data to achieve optimal performance. This specific data consists of behavioral data, spending patterns, location, device information, and personal identification.

Though this data enriches the platform so that it understands features such as predictive recommendations and real-time alerts, it raises serious issues about user privacy.

- Unauthorized access or misuse of sensitive data can lead to identity theft or fraud.
- Most users do not know how their data is collected, stored, or shared, which can cause a decrease in trust.



- Nefarious data governance practices can expose banks to legal liability under data.

#### b. Algorithmic Bias and Discrimination

AI systems learn from historical data. If the training data contains biased patterns or reflects societal inequalities, the result might be unintentional endorsement of those biases by the algorithms.

- For example, the AI model may deny some demographic group credit if the data provided to the model during the training process included discriminatory trends.
- Consequently, this factor creates a transparency issue surrounding decision-making and the "black box" effect, making it hard to audit or explain AI outcomes. This can lead to unfair treatment of customers and reputational risks for banks.

#### c. Digital Exclusion

AI has indeed developed mobile banking programs that require a smartphone for Internet access and an acceptable digital literacy level. Such platforms provide better service to tech-savvy users, but exclude others.

- The elderly, low-income users, and people living in rural areas may find difficulties in accessing and navigating these systems.
- Complex interfaces, language barriers, or the absence of offline access will keep large segments of the population from fully utilizing AI-driven banking services.

All this contradicts the goal of financial inclusion and shows the digital divide.

#### d. High Implementation and Maintenance Costs

Infrastructure for AI-powered mobile banking is expensive to set up and maintain. Banks then invest on:

- Advanced data storage and processing systems
- Cybersecurity and compliance frameworks

- AI specialists and data scientists
- Regular system updates and model training

For smaller or mid-sized banks and fintech startups, these costs are stringent such that they never catch up with the track of widespread adoption.

#### e. Cybersecurity Vulnerabilities

The fact that AI systems become more connected and harder on data makes them prime targets for cyberattack. Hackers may penetrate the system through the following:

- API integration with third-party applications
- AI-enabled decision engine
- Fraud detection systems using automation

Data poisoning, a form of attacking an AI model either through modification or training using false data, leads the model into making erroneous decisions to the detriment of an organization in terms of financial and service loss.

#### Case Studies and Industry Adoption

##### Bank of America - Erica

"Erica" is a virtual financial assistant that uses AI and NLP to help customers navigate mobile banking, track spending, and provide financial advice. It has improved customer satisfaction and reduced support ticket volume.

##### HDFC Bank - Eva (India)

Eva is India's first AI chatbot for banking, developed to answer thousands of customer queries in real-time, reducing the load on customer care staff and improving operational efficiency.

##### Revolut and Monzo (UK)

These digital banks use AI for fraud detection, credit scoring, and expense categorization, helping users better manage their finances with real-time insights.

#### Comparative Analysis

| Criteria         | Traditional Mobile Banking            | AI-Driven Mobile Banking                        |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| User Interface   | Basic GUI or text-based input         | Conversational, voice, and intuitive interfaces |
| Personalization  | None or minimal                       | Highly personalized through user data analysis  |
| Customer Support | Human-operated, often delayed         | Instant via AI chatbots                         |
| Fraud Detection  | Rule-based systems                    | Real-time behavioral monitoring                 |
| Accessibility    | Limited to literate, tech-savvy users | Voice-based and adaptive interfaces             |
| Operational Cost | Higher due to manual intervention     | Lower due to automation                         |
| Security Risks   | Low-tech scams (e.g., phishing)       | High-tech concerns (e.g., AI model misuse)      |

#### Conclusion

The comparative analysis undertaken in this paper indicates that while old traditional mobile banking systems started the base for digital financial services, they are no longer sufficient to fulfill the requirements of an increasingly tech-savvy and data-driven customer base. AI-driven mobile banking is certainly the future of the industry in terms of better scalability, smarter automation, and profound insights. However, the transition towards being truly effective and inclusive will depend on how banks deal with ethical, legal, and technological risks associated with AI. In summation, both traditional and AI-powered mobile banking systems share unique strengths and limitations. A

more productive avenue for future planning would be to interweave the simplicity and accessibility of traditional systems with the intelligence and dynamism of AI-driven solutions. This blend allows for mobile banking to evolve with safety, inclusivity, and in consideration of various needs of use across the globe.

The era of mobile banking has evolved from text-based transactions to in-the-moment decisions strengthened by AI-powered systems. Traditional mobile banking laid the foundation for the financial digitization; however, AI-enabled systems have taken it to the next level in user experience, security, and operational efficiency.

## References

1. Accenture. Banking on AI: How artificial intelligence is reshaping the banking industry. 2021.
2. Arner DW, Barberis J, Buckley RP. The evolution of fintech: A new post-crisis paradigm? *Georgetown Journal of International Law*. 2016;47:1271-319.
3. Bank of America. Meet Erica: Your virtual financial assistant. 2023.
4. Bansal A, Kumar P. AI-powered chatbots in banking: Enhancing customer experience through conversational agents. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*. 2022.
5. Buhalis D, Sinarta Y. Real-time co-creation and nowness service: Lessons from AI and Big Data. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*. 2019;36(5):563-582.
6. Chatterjee S, Rana NP, Tamilmani K, Sharma A. The acceptance of artificial intelligence in banking: A literature review and future research agenda. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*. 2021;173:121092.
7. Deloitte. AI in banking and finance: The future is here. 2022.
8. Demirgüç-Kunt A, Klapper L, Singer D, Ansar S. The Global Findex Database 2017: Measuring financial inclusion and the fintech revolution. World Bank; 2018.
9. EY Global. Global FinTech Adoption Index 2023. 2023: [https://www.ey.com/en\\_gl/ey-global-fintech-adoption-index](https://www.ey.com/en_gl/ey-global-fintech-adoption-index)
10. Ghosh S. Artificial intelligence in banking and finance: The use of machine learning in risk assessment. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*. 2020;13(11):281. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm13110281>
11. GSMA. State of the industry report on mobile money. 2023: <https://www.gsma.com>
12. HDFC Bank. AI chatbot EVA. 2023: <https://www.hdfcbank.com>
13. IBM. Transforming banking with artificial intelligence. 2022: <https://www.ibm.com/watson/finance/ai-in-banking>
14. Khan HU, Alshamrani A. Mobile banking adoption: A study on consumers' behavior using extended TAM model. *International Journal of Information Management*. 2020;50:310-323.
15. Lu Y, Yang S, Chau PY, Cao Y. Dynamics between the trust transfer process and intention to use mobile payment services: A cross-environment perspective. *Information & Management*. 2011;48(8):393-403.
16. McKinsey & Company. The state of AI in banking. 2022. <https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/financial-services/our-insights/the-state-of-ai-in-banking>
17. Nguyen TH, Ngo LV, Ruël H. Innovation and performance of Vietnamese banks: The moderating role of customer participation. *Journal of Business Research*. 2016;69(9):3272-3277.
18. OECD. Digital disruption in banking and its impact on competition. 2021.
19. Pousttchi K, Dehnert M. Exploring the digitalization impact on consumer decision-making in retail banking. *Electronic Markets*. 2018;28(3):265-286.
20. PwC. AI and risk management in banking: A new frontier. 2023.
21. Statista. Number of mobile banking users worldwide from 2018 to 2024: <https://www.statista.com/statistics/1137990/global-mobile-banking-users/>
22. World Economic Forum. How AI is transforming global financial services. 2023: <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2023/01/ai-fintech-banking/>
23. Zhou T. Understanding users' initial trust in mobile banking: An elaboration likelihood perspective. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2012;28(4):1518-1525: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2012.03.021>