International Journal of Research in Finance and Management

P-ISSN: 2617-5754
E-ISSN: 2617-5762
Impact Factor (RJIF): 5.32
IJRFM 2025; 8(2): 747-753
www.allfinancejournal.com
Received: 21-08-2025
Accepted: 23-09-2025

Dr. R Raghavendra Rao
Head & Senior Assistant Professor, Department of Business Administration, Indian Institute of Management and Commerce, Khairatabad, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

Chilumuri Srinivasa Rao
Professor, Head & BOS Chairman, Department of Commerce, Vikrama Simhapuri University College, Kavali, SPSR Nellore, Andhra Pradesh, India

Correspondence Author:
Dr. R Raghavendra Rao
Head & Senior Assistant Professor, Department of Business Administration, Indian Institute of Management and Commerce, Khairatabad, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

Analysis of Financial Performance of NIFTY Index Bank Constituents using CAFRAM Model

R Raghavendra Rao and Chilumuri Srinivasa Rao

DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.33545/26175754.2025.v8.i2h.605

Abstract
Public rely mostly on banks for depositing their money and banks do not need any introduction. They are the most important organs of Indian financial body and the effective functioning of banking system will ensure the proper health of the economy. Besides an economic institution, they are also the trustees for the money kept by the public based on trust and belief. The fail of banks in providing liquidity and safety to the deposits will impact on the entire functioning of economy and cause the deterioration of welfare and prosperity of the country. The financial performance analysis of an organization will indicate the areas of strong (AOS), areas of weak (AOW) and areas of improvement (AOI). Banks are special and exceptional for assessment of the financial performance as it is not a commercial kind of entity for regular analysis. It is very important to analyze the performance of the banks from time to time to know the problems or issues to be addressed in future to avoid the jeopardy. The central bank - RBI, has master role in supervising the activities of the banks on timely basis for taking preventive and corrective actions in response. All stakeholders expect a better financial performance of banks as it is linked with their interests and economy. It is equally important to researchers in the domain of banking to evaluate the performance of banks on various accepted models and reporting the same through research articles / papers/presentations on different platforms. It has many metrics, indicators that are to be deeply studied, correlated and relative values to be analyzed. The present paper is first of its kind in evaluating the financial performance of select banks of NIFTY index using a model named as CAFRM the findings and suggestions extracted from the analysis are incorporated in the relevant sections.

Keyword: Financial Performance, CAMEL Ratios, Financial Ratios, Financial Metrics, CAFRAM model

Introduction

The banking sector is integral to the financial stability of a country as it provides essential services such as lending, deposit mobilization, and other financial activities. In recent years, the banking sector has faced various challenges including changing regulatory frameworks, fluctuating interest rates, technological advancements, consolidation of banks, increase in NPA levels and evolving customer preferences. These factors significantly impact a bank's performance, making regular financial analysis even more important. Moreover, the global financial crises and economic downturns have highlighted the need for a resilient banking system that is capable of withstanding economic shocks and maintaining operational efficiency. The financial performance analysis of banks is a crucial aspect of economic research. Many stake holders do not know about the problems or issues with the banks unless a through financial performance analysis is carried out. Also, the stability and sustainability of the banks mainly depend upon various indicators and ratios which are needed to be studied.

Financial performance analysis enables banks to identify strengths and weaknesses, allowing them to adjust their strategies accordingly and ensure long-term sustainability. The process of analyzing financial performance also serves as a comparative tool, allowing banks to benchmark their performance against peers and industry standards. It aids in identifying areas where a bank may lag behind its competitors and provides insights into potential improvements. Additionally, regulatory authorities use financial analysis to monitor the soundness of banks, ensuring they comply with prudential norms and maintain sufficient capital levels to safeguard depositor interests. Financial performance analysis is an integral component of effective banking management. It offers a structured approach to understanding a bank's performance, guiding decision-making processes and enabling stakeholders to navigate the complexities of the banking environment. As the financial landscape continues to evolve, such analysis remains vital for maintaining the stability, profitability, and growth of banks.

For the present study, the performance analysis of selected banks was done on combining different models together. The performance analysis can be done using a selected financial model, which may include ratios like return on assets (ROA), return on equity (ROE), net interest margin (NIM) etc. or financial metrics such as total deposits, total advances, total NPA etc. or a standardized model of CAMEL analysis. All these models are combined together to frame a new model for testing. The findings can be valuable for the banks themselves, Customers, RBI, Government, investors, analysts, and financial institutions.

Review of Literature

For the purpose of current study, various reviews have been referred to understand the quantum of work done on the study area. The following are some of the excerpts of the founded reviews.

1. **Chatterjee, P., & Dutta, S. (2018) [1]:** "Efficiency Analysis of Indian Commercial Banks: A Data Envelopment Analysis Approach"- The study uses Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) to measure the efficiency of Indian commercial banks, focusing on both public and private sector banks. It concludes that private banks tend to be more efficient in utilizing their resources compared to public sector banks. The paper suggests that public banks need to optimize their operational processes to improve their efficiency levels.
2. **Chowdhury, A., & Jha, S. (2018) [2]:** "CAMEL Model Analysis of Indian Commercial Banks: A Case Study Approach"- Using the CAMEL model, this study analyzes the performance of select commercial banks in India. It concludes that banks with strong capital bases and efficient management practices tend to perform better in terms of earnings and profitability. The paper emphasizes the importance of maintaining a balanced focus across all components of the CAMEL model to ensure overall financial health.
3. **Ghosh, P., & Bose, S. (2019) [3]:** "Predicting Financial Distress in Indian Banks Using the Altman Z-Score" - Ghosh and Bose employ the Altman Z-score model to predict the financial distress of banks in the NIFTY Bank Index. Their study finds that the Zscore is an effective tool for assessing the financial health of banks, as it combines multiple financial ratios into a single measure. Banks with a lower Z-score are found to be at a higher risk of bankruptcy, which is reflected in their weaker stock performance. The research suggests that investors can use the Z-score as a predictive tool to avoid investing in financially distressed banks, thus managing investment risks more effectively.
4. **Kumar, R., & Gupta, S. (2019) [4]:** "Financial Performance Analysis of Indian Banks Using the CAMEL Model"- The authors analyze the financial health of Indian banks by employing the CAMEL framework, which evaluates Capital Adequacy, Asset Quality, Management Efficiency, Earnings, and Liquidity. The study focuses on banks within the NIFTY Bank Index and reveals that banks with higher asset quality and efficient management demonstrate better profitability. Additionally, the research finds that a strong capital base helps banks absorb market shocks more effectively. The study provides insights into the factors contributing to a bank's financial strength, emphasizing the importance of asset quality and management efficiency.
5. **Bansal, R., & Singh, J. (2020) [5]:** "Key Indicators for Measuring the Efficiency of Indian Banks: An Empirical Study"- This empirical study explores various efficiency KPIs such as the cost-to-income ratio, net profit margin, and return on assets to measure the efficiency of Indian banks. It concludes that banks with lower cost-toincome ratios and higher returns on assets are better positioned to withstand competitive pressures. The paper also emphasizes the importance of strategic cost management to improve overall efficiency.
6. **Kumar, A., & Verma, P. (2020) [6]:** "Performance Measurement of Banks Using Financial Ratios as KPIs" - The research highlights the use of financial ratios as KPIs to measure the performance of Indian banks. Key ratios such as cost-to-income ratio, credit-deposit ratio, and CAR are discussed in detail. The study concludes that a low cost-to-income ratio is indicative of a bank's operational efficiency, while a high credit-deposit ratio reflects effective lending practices.
7. **Patel, S., & Reddy, K. (2020) [7]:** "An Analysis of Bank Performance Using the CAMEL Model: A Comparative Study of Public and Private Banks"- The research applies the CAMEL model to compare public and private sector banks in India. It finds that private sector banks tend to have better asset quality and management efficiency, contributing to their superior financial performance. However, public sector banks excel in maintaining liquidity, which is critical for risk management. The study suggests that public banks need to focus on improving asset quality to remain competitive.
8. **Sharma, A., & Mehta, D. (2021) [8]:** "Impact of Non-Performing Assets on the Financial Performance of Indian Banks"- This research analyzes the effect of nonperforming assets (NPAs) on the profitability and overall financial health of Indian banks. It highlights that rising NPAs have significantly eroded profitability, particularly in public sector banks. The study suggests the implementation of stronger credit risk management practices and emphasizes the need for improved loan recovery mechanisms to mitigate this impact.
9. **Khan, M. A. (2022) [9]:** "Application of CAMEL Model in Indian Banking Sector: A Performance Evaluation"- Khan's study examines the applicability of the CAMEL model in evaluating the financial health of Indian banks over a five-year period. It finds that asset quality is a major area of concern for many public sector banks due to the prevalence of non-performing assets (NPAs). The study recommends improved credit monitoring systems to enhance asset

quality and overall performance.

10. **Rao, S. P., & Kumar, R. (2022) [10]:** "Financial Performance of Indian Banks: A Study of Public and Private Sector Banks" - This study compares the financial performance of public and private sector banks in India using key financial ratios like ROA, ROE, and NIM. The research finds that private sector banks generally outperform public sector banks in terms of profitability and efficiency due to better management practices and technological adoption. However, public sector banks maintain a higher capital adequacy ratio, indicating their focus on risk management.

Research gap

Based on the above review of literatures, it was found that majority of the studies had contributed for comparative performance analysis of banks using different techniques/tools/models for evaluation and comparison. Some researchers used financial ratios whereas some others had analyzed the performance based on financial metrics like deposits, advances, assets etc. Some studies had adopted CAMEL model to evaluate the financial performance of the banks. But, it is evident that no study has been taken to test whether there is any significant difference in ranks assigned by these models. Also, the banks under study are taken from NIFTY index constituents which were not studied by any researcher so far. So, this study has designed a new model named as CAFRAM model which combines all the methods of performance analysis such as Camel Ratios, Financial Ratios And Metrics and also tests statistically the significant difference in the ranks of selected banks.

Objectives of the Study

1. To assess and compare the financial performance of selected NIFTY index banks using different methods.
2. To analyze the overall financial performance of selected NIFTY index banks using a selected model combining all approaches.
3. To test the significant difference among the ranks of selected NIFTY index banks across different methods under new proposed model.

Research Methodology

The study utilizes exclusively secondary data obtained from annual reports of banks, RBI bulletins, research articles, business magazines websites and other financial databases. The analysis covers a period of 3 years, i.e., 2021-2022, 2022-2023 and 2023-2024. The study includes 6 constituent banks of the NIFTY Index to ensure comprehensive coverage. The banks selected are State Bank of India (SBI), AXIS Bank, HDFC Bank, ICICI bank, INDUSIND Bank and KOTAK Mahindra Bank. These banks cover 24.73% out of 32.92% of Financial Services Sector in the NIFTY Index. The financial performance analysis was conducted on different tools like financial ratios, Average Annual Growth Rates (AAGR), CAMEL ratios. Also Friedman test, Spearman Rank Correlation Wilcoxon-Signed rank tests are used to test the significant difference among the ranks of different methods.

Hypothesis

- **H_0.1**: There is no significant difference in ranks of different performance methods across selected banks.
- **H_0.2**: There is no significant difference in ranks between CAMEL and Financial Ratios
- **H_0.3**: There is no significant difference in ranks between CAMEL and Financial Metrics
- **H_0.4**: There is no significant difference in ranks between Financial Ratios and Financial Metrics

Overall Performance analysis of Selected NIFTY Index Banks using CAFRAM Model

Table 1: Analysis of Selected NIFTY Index Banks using CAFRAM Model

			Composite Ranks		
Bank	**CA**	**FR**	**FM**	**Total**	**Overall Rank**
SBI	6	6	5	17	6
HDFC	1.5	2	1	4.5	1
ICICI	3	3	3	9	3
KOTAK	1.5	1	3	5.5	2
INDUSIND	5	1	6	12	5
AXIS	4	4	3	11	4

Source: Secondary data and Researcher's Compilation

The overall performance of selected banks based on the composite ranking method CAFRAM reveals clear distinctions in their rankings and areas of strength. HDFC and KOTAK banks emerge as the top performers with a total score of 4.5 and 5.5, respectively, achieving the 1st and 2nd overall ranks. HDFC demonstrates exceptional strength in Financial Metrics (1st rank) and strong performance across the other criteria, while KOTAK excels in Capital Adequacy (1st rank) and maintains consistent rankings in other areas. ICICI bank ranks 3rd overall with a total score of 9. It displays balanced performance across all criteria, consistently achieving 3rd rank in each parameter. AXIS bank follows closely in 4th position with a total score of 11, reflecting similar rankings in Financial Ratios (4th rank) and Financial Metrics (3rd rank). INDUSIND bank ranks 5th overall with a total score of 12. Despite achieving the 1st rank in Financial Ratios, it underperforms in Financial Metrics (6th rank), highlighting a disparity between its operational efficiency and overall financial health. Finally, SBI, the largest public sector bank, ranks 6th overall with a total score of 17. Its consistent 6th rank across all dimensions indicates systemic challenges in maintaining competitiveness across Capital Adequacy, Financial Ratios, and Financial Metrics.

This analysis underscores the strong overall performance of HDFC and KOTAK banks, while identifying areas for improvement in SBI and INDUSIND banks to enhance their financial health and operational efficiency. In the coming discussions, the performance analysis of NIFTY index banks using different Models such as CAMEL, Financial ratios and Metrics were shown in detail.

Financial Performance Analysis of Selected NIFTY Index banks using CAMEL Model (CA)

CAMEL is the acronym for Capital Adequacy, Asset Quality, Management Efficiency, Earnings Capacity and Liquidity ratios. For the current study from each of the component, a major ratio was taken and accordingly the CAMEL ratios were calculated for all the selected six banks and a composite rank was assigned based on the average ranks in each component. To represent Capital Adequacy- Capital Risk Adjusted Ratio, Debt Equity, Advances to Assets ratio; Asset quality - NPA/Advances ratio, NPA to Assets, Investments to Assets Ratio; Management Efficiency- Advances to Deposits ratio, Business Per Employee (BPE); Earnings Capacity- NIM to Total Assets and Return on Assets ratio and Liquidity- Liquid Assets to Total Assets, Liquid Assets to Total Deposits ratios were taken.

Table 2: Composite Rank analysis of CAMEL ratios of Selected NIFTY Index Banks

Bank	C	A	M	E	L	Total of Ranks	Composite Rank
SBI	3	5	5	6	6	25	6
HDFC	1	2	1	2	2	8	1.5
ICICI	1	3	2	4	3	13	3
Kotak	2	4	3	5	5	19	1.5
Indus IND	1	1	4	1	1	8	5
Axis	2	6	5	3	4	20	4

Source: Secondary data and Researcher's Compilation

The overall performance of the selected banks based on the given parameters (C, A, M, E, and L) highlights clear distinctions in their rankings. HDFC and INDUSIND banks share the top position with a composite rank of 1.5, each achieving a total score of 8. HDFC demonstrates consistent excellence, ranking 1st in M and 2nd in A, E, and L, reflecting it's balanced and robust performance across all dimensions. INDUSIND, on the other hand, leads in C (1st rank) and dominates A, E, and L (all 1st ranks), showcasing superior capital adequacy, earnings, and liquidity. ICICI Bank ranks 3rd overall with a total score of 13, reflecting a solid yet slightly uneven performance. It performs well in C (1st rank) and M (2nd rank) but falters in E and L, where it ranks 4th and 3rd, respectively. AXIS Bank holds the 4th position with a total score of 20, exhibiting strengths in C (2nd rank) but lower performance in A and M (6th and 5th ranks), suggesting room for improvement in maintaining profitability and asset quality. KOTAK Bank, with a total score of 19, ties for the 1.5 composite rank but ranks 5th overall due to higher scores in A (4th) and E (5th). While it demonstrates a competitive position in C (2nd rank), the other parameters pull down its overall performance. SBI occupies the last rank (6th) with a total score of 25. It consistently ranks 5th or 6th across all parameters, indicating systemic challenges in maintaining competitive performance in key areas like capital adequacy, asset quality, and earnings. This analysis emphasizes the strong and balanced performance of HDFC and INDUSIND, with HDFC excelling in financial management and INDUSIND dominating in operational parameters. Conversely, SBI's consistently low rankings across the board highlight the need for significant reforms to improve its competitiveness.

Financial Performance Analysis of Selected NIFTY Index banks using Financial Ratios (FR) and (A) Metrics (M).

a. Financial ratios are one of the methods of assessing the analysis of banks. Most of the stake holders use the accounting/financial ratios to judge about the performance of a bank and accordingly decision with regard to investments or deposits will be made. For the purpose of study, five financial ratios are taken that are very important and more inclusive for assessing the performance of banks. The ratios are Yield on Advances (YOA), Yield on Investments, Cost of Liabilities (COL), Cost of Deposits (COD), Current Accounts and Savings Accounts (CASA), Net Interest Margin (NIM), Interest Spread.

Table 3(a): Composite Rank analysis of Financial Ratios of Selected NIFTY Index Banks

	Ranks								
Bank	YOA	YOI	COL (%)	COD	CASA	NIM	IS	Total of Ranks	Composite Rank
SBI	6	6	1	6	4	6	6	35	6
HDFC	2	2	4	3	2	3	2	18	2
ICICI	4	3	2	2	3	4	3	21	3
Kotak	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	34	1
Indus IND	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	9	1
Axis	3	4	5	4	6	2	4	26	4

Source: Secondary data and Researcher's Compilation

The overall performance analysis of the selected banks, based on multiple financial parameters, reveals clear distinctions in their rankings. INDUSIND stands out as the top performer, with a total score of 9 and securing the 1st overall rank. INDUSIND excels across almost all parameters, especially in YOA (1st rank), YOI (1st rank), and IS (1st rank), which contribute to its dominant position.
HDFC ranks 2nd overall with a total score of 18. It performs well across multiple parameters, including YOA (2nd rank) and IS (2nd rank), maintaining a solid presence in critical areas like COD (3rd rank) and NIM (3rd rank). HDFC demonstrates a balanced and strong performance, which contributes to its high rank.
ICICI follows with a 3rd overall rank and a total score of 21. ICICI's performance is more mixed, with strengths in COL(%) (2nd rank) and COD (2nd rank), but it struggles in areas like YOA (4th rank) and NIM (4th rank), which pull down its overall standing.
AXIS ranks 4th overall with a total score of 26. While it performs decently in areas such as
YOA (3rd rank) and NIM (2nd rank), its lower scores in other parameters, especially CASA
(6th rank), result in a mid-tier position overall. KOTAK ranks 5th overall with a total score of 34. Despite solid performances in YOA (5th rank) and NIM (5th rank), KOTAK's relatively weak positions in other areas, particularly CASA (5th rank) and IS (5th rank), limit its ability to rank higher. SBI is the lowest performer, securing

the 6th overall rank with a total score of 35. SBI consistently ranks lower across most parameters, with its weakest performance in YOA (6th rank) and IS (6th rank). This indicates that SBI faces challenges in multiple areas of operational efficiency and profitability. This analysis underscores INDUSIND's exceptional performance across nearly all parameters, while HDFC and ICICI stand out for their balanced and solid performances. AXIS and KOTAK display some strengths but also face challenges in specific areas, while SBI's consistent low ranks across all criteria highlight a need for significant improvement in its overall performance.

b. Financial metrics are also referred as Key Performance Indicators which represent the main elements of a bank and the trends in the values of these elements over a period of time will assess the positive or negative direction of banks. Average Annual Growth Rate (AAGR) is calculated to capture the trends in the growth rates and the overall average of AAGR is found for every bank. The Financial metrics taken for consideration are - Total Deposits, Total Advances, Total Assets, Total Net Profits, Total Net NPA.

Table 3(b): Composite Rank analysis of Financial Metrics of Selected NIFTY Index Banks

Ranks							
Bank	**Total Deposits**	**Total Advances**	**Total Net Profit**	**Total Net NPA**	**Total Assets**	**Total of Ranks**	**Composite Rank**
SBI	6	2	3	2	6	19	5
HDFC	1	1	5	6	1	14	1
ICICI	3	4	4	3	3	17	3
Kotak	2	3	6	4	2	17	3
Indus Ind	4	6	2	5	4	21	6
AXIS	5	5	1	1	5	17	3

Source: Secondary data and Researcher's Compilation

The performance analysis of selected banks based on key financial parameters such as Total Deposits, Total Advances, Total Net Profit, Total Net NPA, and Total Assets highlights their relative strengths and weaknesses.

HDFC Bank emerges as the top performer with a composite rank of 1 and a total score of 14. HDFC leads in Total Deposits (1st rank), Total Advances (1st rank), and Total Assets (1st rank), showcasing its robust financial base and operational efficiency. However, it ranks lowest in Total Net NPA (6th rank), indicating potential concerns regarding asset quality. ICICI Bank, KOTAK Bank, and AXIS Bank share the 3rd composite rank, each with a total score of 17, but their rankings vary across parameters:

SBI ranks 5th overall with a total score of 19. It performs well in Total Advances (2nd rank) and Total Net NPA (2nd rank), but lower rankings in Total Deposits (6th rank) and Total Assets (6th rank) hinder its overall standing.

INDUSIND Bank ranks the lowest, securing the 6th composite rank with a total score of 21. While it performs well in Total Net Profit (2nd rank), it struggles significantly in Total Advances (6th rank), Total Net NPA (5th rank), and Total Assets (4th rank), reflecting challenges in scaling its operations and maintaining asset quality.

This analysis highlights HDFC Bank as the most consistent performer, excelling across most parameters. ICICI Bank, KOTAK Bank, and AXIS Bank exhibit mixed performances, with each showing strengths in specific areas. SBI and INDUSIND Bank face challenges in maintaining competitive rankings, particularly in deposit growth and asset management, requiring targeted improvements to enhance their overall performance.

Testing and Validation of Overall Ranks of Proposed CAFRAM Model

To assess the significant difference among the ranks of the six banks derived from the CAFRAM model, non-parametric statistical tests such as Friedman Test, Wilcoxon-Signed rank tests are used. The Friedman test is used to test the significant difference in ranks.

Wilcoxon- Signed rank test is used to test the different pairs of ranking methods.

Table 4: Testing the Significant difference among the ranks of CAFRFM Model using Friedman test

N	6
Chi-Square	0.444
Df	2
Asymp. Sig. (p)	0.801

Source: SPSS Calculations

The Friedman test at 5% level of significance revealed the p value (0.801) which is more than 0.05 ($p > 0.05$) which means that the null hypothesis ($H_{0.}1$) is accepted and it can be concluded that there is no significant difference in the ranks across multiple groups of performance methods. Hence, any method can be applied to test the performance of banks and all are statistically indifferent.

However, to test the statistical difference between the ranks in pairs, Spearman rank correlation is also used and the results are shown below.

Table 5: Testing the Significant difference among ranks using Spearman Rank Correlation

Correlations				
		Camel	**Financial Ratios**	**Financial Metrics**
Camel	Pearson Correlation	1	.615	.832
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.194	.040
	N	6	6	6
Financial Ratios	Pearson Correlation	.615	1	.146
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.194		.782
	N	6	6	6
Financial Metrics	Pearson Correlation	.832	.146	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.040	.782	
	N	6	6	6

Source: SPSS Calculations

The correlation analysis highlights the relationships among the CAMEL framework, Financial Ratios, and Financial Metrics for the selected banks.
The CAMEL framework shows a strong positive correlation with Financial Metrics ($r = 0.832$), which shows a statistical difference at the 0.05 level ($p = 0.040$). This indicates that though there is a strong correlation between these two ratios, there is a less statistical alignment between the two.
The correlation between CAMEL and Financial Ratios ($r = 0.615$), while moderately positive, is also statistically indifference as p value ($p = 0.194$) is more than 5% level of significance. This suggests that there is some positive association and also both ratios are indifferent in ranking the performance of banks.
The correlation between Financial Ratios and Financial Metrics ($r = 0.146$) is weak and not statistically different as p value ($p = 0.782$) is more than 5% level of significance.
Overall, the analysis emphasizes the significant and strong relationship between the CAMEL framework and Financial Metrics, while highlighting weaker associations between CAMEL and Financial Ratios, as well as between Financial Ratios and Financial Metrics. This suggests that the CAMEL framework is a more reliable indicator of overall financial performance when compared with standalone Financial Ratios.

Findings of the Study

- HDFC Bank demonstrates exceptional performance across various parameters. It leads in liquidity, profitability, and operational efficiency. Its low NPA levels further highlight its strong credit management and financial stability.
- KOTAK Bank shows high profitability and effectively balances its advances while maintaining healthy NPA levels, indicating efficient operations and strong asset quality.
- ICICI Bank faces challenges in liquidity management, signaling potential issues in maintaining adequate funding to meet its operational and credit requirements.
- INDUSIND Bank struggles to generate advances in proportion to its total assets, reflecting inefficiencies in asset utilization and lending operations.
- SBI, despite being the largest public sector bank, underperforms in critical areas. Its higher NPAs, lower profitability, and weak advances-to-deposit ratio signify significant operational and financial challenges.
- AXIS Bank delivers moderate performance but requires improvements in key areas like profitability and asset quality to strengthen its competitive position.

Overall, the findings emphasize the need for strategic improvements in weaker areas while leveraging strengths to maintain growth and competitiveness.

Suggestions

- Banks should focus on leveraging their strengths while addressing their specific weaknesses. HDFC Bank should continue its efforts in maintaining strong liquidity and credit management practices to sustain its leadership position.
- KOTAK Bank can capitalize on its high profitability and further enhance its lending operations to maintain competitive growth.
- ICICI Bank needs to prioritize liquidity management strategies to ensure sufficient funding for its operations and obligations.
- INDUSIND Bank should work on improving its asset utilization by focusing on generating more advances relative to its total assets.
- SBI must address its high NPAs through robust recovery mechanisms and adopt measures to improve profitability and optimize its advances-to-deposit ratio.
- AXIS Bank should aim at strengthening its profitability and improving asset quality to enhance its overall performance. By addressing these areas, banks can improve their operational efficiency, financial stability, and market competitiveness.

Conclusion

The analysis of selected NIFTY Index banks highlights significant variations in their performance across different frameworks, including CAMEL, financial ratios, and financial metrics. HDFC Bank consistently emerges as a leader, excelling in liquidity, operational efficiency, and profitability. Its low NPA levels and strong advances-to-deposit ratio reinforce its position as a benchmark for financial stability and credit management. Similarly, KOTAK Bank demonstrates high profitability and effective asset quality management, maintaining a competitive edge in the industry.
On the other hand, SBI, despite its size and influence as a public sector bank, lags in critical areas such as profitability, NPA management, and advances-to-deposit ratio. This underscores the need for robust operational and financial reforms. INDUSIND Bank struggles to fully utilize its assets for generating advances, pointing to inefficiencies in

lending operations. ICICI Bank faces challenges in liquidity management, which could impact its capacity to meet obligations effectively. AXIS Bank, while showing moderate performance, needs to enhance its profitability and asset quality to remain competitive. The correlation analysis between CAMEL, financial ratios, and financial metrics reveals a significant relationship between CAMEL and financial metrics, suggesting that stronger CAMEL parameters directly contribute to better financial performance. However, financial ratios show weaker correlations with both CAMEL and financial metrics, indicating potential areas where banks can align their operations more effectively to achieve better outcomes. In conclusion, the findings emphasize the importance of a balanced approach to operational efficiency, risk management, and profitability. Banks performing well must sustain their strengths, while those lagging need targeted interventions in areas like NPA management, liquidity enhancement, and profitability improvement. By addressing these gaps and leveraging their strengths, the banks can ensure sustainable growth and enhanced competitiveness in the dynamic financial environment.

References

1. Chatterjee P, Dutta S. Efficiency analysis of Indian commercial banks: A data envelopment analysis approach. Journal of Banking Efficiency. 2018;6(1):67-80.
2. Chowdhury A, Jha S. CAMEL model analysis of Indian commercial banks: A case study approach. International Journal of Financial Research. 2018;5(4):112-125. https://doi.org/10.5430/ijfr.v5n4p112
3. Ghosh P, Bose S. Predicting financial distress in Indian banks using the Altman Z-score. Journal of Banking and Financial Analysis. 2019;8(3):123-138.
4. Kumar R, Gupta S. Financial performance analysis of Indian banks using the CAMEL model. International Journal of Financial Studies. 2019;7(2):45-60. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijfs7020045
5. Bansal R, Singh J. Key indicators for measuring the efficiency of Indian banks: An empirical study. Journal of Bank Management. 2020;9(2):90-105.
6. Kumar A, Verma P. Performance measurement of banks using financial ratios as KPIs. Journal of Finance and Banking Studies. 2020;11(3):77-89. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3587078
7. Patel S, Reddy K. An analysis of bank performance using the CAMEL model: A comparative study of public and private banks. Journal of Banking and Financial Services. 2020;12(1):100-115.
8. Sharma A, Mehta D. Impact of non-performing assets on the financial performance of Indian banks. Journal of Financial Risk Management. 2021;10(4):134-145. https://doi.org/10.4236/jfrm.2021.104010
9. Khan MA. Application of CAMEL model in Indian banking sector: A performance evaluation. Journal of Financial Performance Analysis. 2022;13(2):30-44.
10. Rao SP, Kumar R. Financial performance of Indian banks: A study of public and private sector banks. Journal of Banking and Economics. 2022;15(1):45-59.
11. Khan MY, Jain PK. Financial management: Text, problems, and cases. 8th ed. New Delhi: McGraw Hill Education; 2018.
12. Mishkin FS. The economics of money, banking, and financial markets. 13th ed. Pearson; 2021.
13. Rose PS, Hudgins SC. Bank management and financial services. 10th ed. New Delhi: McGraw Hill Education; 2019.
14. Srivastava RM, Nigam D. Management of Indian financial institutions. 5th ed. Mumbai: Himalaya Publishing House; 2021.
15. Arora S, Kaur S. Diversification by banks in India: Internal determinants. The Indian Banker. 2008;3(7):37-41.
16. Banmali. Life line of banking: New RBI formula for NPA recovery. IBA Bulletin. 2001 Jan;23-25.
17. Bhattacharya KM. Management of non-performing advances in banks. Journal of Accounting and Finance. 2001;15(2):58-69.
18. Bodla BS, Verma R. Evaluating performance of banks through CAMEL model: A case study of SBI and ICICI. The ICFAI Journal of Bank Management. 2006;5(3):49-63.
19. Gupta R. An analysis of Indian public sector banks using CAMEL approach. IOSR Journal of Business and Management. 2014;16(1, Ver. IV):94-102.
20. Karthikeyan P, Shangari B. Calibrating financial soundness among selected private sector banks in India by using CAMEL model. International Journal of Management Research and Review. 2014;4(4):449-454.
21. Chaudhry S, Singh S. Impact of reforms on the asset quality in Indian banking. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research. 2012;5(2):17-24.
22. Chidambaram RM, Alamelu K. Profitability in banks: A matter of survival. The Banker. 1994 May;18(1-3).
23. Dasgupta D. Performance of public sector banks in India during the post-liberalisation era. Business Studies (University of Calcutta). 2000;23(1-2):103-114.
24. Dasgupta D. Profitability of Indian public sector banks in the light of economic liberalization: An overview. The Management Accountant. 2001;36(9):693-698.
25. Desai BH, Farmer MJ. Taxonomic evaluation of banks' profitability performance. The Management Accountant. 2001;36(12):885-891.
26. Bhayani SJ. Performance of the new Indian private sector banks: A comparative study. ICFAIAN Journal of Management Research. 2006;5(11):53-70.
27. Kaur HV, Kaur J. CAMEL analysis of selected public sector banks. Gyan Jyoti Journal. 2016;6(3):1-25.
28. www.sbi.co.in
29. www.hdfcbank.com
30. www.icicibank.com
31. www.KOTAK.com
32. www.AXISbank.com
33. www.INDUSIND.com
34. www.rbi.org.in
35. www.ibef.org