

# International Journal of Research in Finance and Management

P-ISSN: 2617-5754 E-ISSN: 2617-5762 Impact Factor (RJIF): 5.32 IJRFM 2025; 8(2): 813-816 www.allfinancejournal.com

Received: 12-10-2025 Accepted: 15-11-2025

#### Ushosi Chakrabortty

MBA, (HR), Associate Brand Manager, Regional College of Management Bangalore, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

# The role of HR in fostering LGBT inclusion: A study on HR initiatives and policies

## **Ushosi Chakrabortty**

**DOI:** https://www.doi.org/10.33545/26175754.2025.v8.i2i.613

#### Abstract

This study examines the role of Human Resources in promoting LGBT inclusion within Indian workplaces, where many employees still encounter discrimination and limited institutional support. Using a mixed-methods approach that combines surveys, interviews, and case studies with secondary analysis of academic literature and industry reports, the research explores the effectiveness of current HR initiatives and policies. The study aims to identify practices that genuinely support LGBT employees, assess their impact on employee experiences and organizational outcomes, and propose a practical framework for HR professionals to enhance inclusion. By addressing existing gaps in research, this study seeks to advance more equitable, supportive, and inclusive work environments for LGBT employees in India.

Keyword: LGBT inclusion, human resource management, workplace diversity, HR policies,

#### Introduction

The study explores how Human Resources (HR) contributes to creating an inclusive workplace for LGBT employees through targeted initiatives and policies. As organizations increasingly recognize the value of diversity, HR plays a central role in eliminating discrimination, ensuring equal opportunities, and fostering a supportive environment for individuals of all sexual orientations and gender identities. The research examines the evolution, effectiveness, and challenges of HR-driven LGBT inclusion efforts, along with their impact on employee well-being, organizational culture, talent retention, legal compliance, reputation, and innovation. By identifying best practices and highlighting the importance of proactive HR involvement, the study aims to guide organizations in strengthening inclusivity and promoting a workplace where LGBT employees feel respected, valued, and empowered to contribute fully.

### Importance of This Research for LGBT People

Recognizing and supporting LGBT inclusion in the workplace is important for both employees and organizations because it fosters fairness, respect, and equal treatment for everyone. For employees, strong LGBT-inclusive policies help prevent discrimination, reduce stress, and create a sense of safety and belonging, which enhances mental well-being, morale, and overall job satisfaction. When individuals feel accepted and valued for who they are, they are more likely to contribute their best ideas, collaborate effectively, and remain loyal to the organization. From the organizational perspective, LGBT inclusion strengthens the work culture by promoting diversity of thought, creativity, and innovation. It also improves the company's reputation, helping attract and retain top talent, and reduces turnover costs. Moreover, inclusive environments support compliance with legal and ethical standards, lower the risk of workplace conflicts, and contribute to higher productivity and long-term organizational growth. Overall, LGBT inclusion benefits both employees and organizations by building safer, more supportive, and high-performing workplaces.

#### **Literature Review**

Existing research on LGBT inclusion in the workplace underscores the increasing value placed on diversity and the significant responsibility HR holds in building inclusive

Correspondence Author: Ushosi Chakrabortty MBA, (HR), Associate Brand Manager, Regional College of Management Bangalore, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India organizational cultures. Foundational frameworks, such as Crenshaw's intersectionality theory, illustrate how multiple social identities shape individuals' experiences of discrimination, while Meyer's Minority Stress Model highlights the psychological strain caused by stigma and prejudice. More recent studies, including those by Davis (2020) [4] and Nguyen (2023) [8], stress the importance of awareness initiatives, global viewpoints, and HR-driven programs in cultivating supportive work environments. Although these contributions collectively demonstrate that inclusive HR policies, cultural sensitivity, and supportive systems enhance both LGBT employee well-being and organizational performance, a notable gap still exists: few studies closely investigate how HR initiatives function in real organizational settings, particularly in regions where LGBT inclusion is still developing. This study aims to address that gap by examining the practical effectiveness, challenges, and on-the-ground impact of HR measures intended to support LGBT employees, offering deeper insights into how organizations can strengthen inclusion through focused HR strategies.

#### **Statement of the Problem**

Despite increased awareness of the need for LGBT inclusion in workplaces, many organizations continue to face challenges in successfully implementing and maintaining HR initiatives that foster genuine inclusivity. Although certain companies have introduced policies and programs to support LGBT employees, there remains a significant lack of evaluation regarding how these efforts truly influence employee experiences and organizational performance. Barriers such as inconsistent application of policies, resistance to cultural change, and limitations in tracking the effectiveness of inclusion strategies hinder progress toward meaningful inclusion. This study addresses these gaps by examining the role of HR in designing, implementing, and evaluating LGBT-focused initiatives. It seeks to identify effective practices, uncover key challenges, and offer actionable recommendations to strengthen the impact of these efforts, ultimately contributing to a more equitable and inclusive workplace for LGBT employees.

### Objectives of the Study

- To identify the challenges and barriers faced by HR in implementing LGBT-inclusive initiatives.
- To explore the impact of LGBT inclusion on organizational culture, employee engagement, and retention to give suggestions for the HR to frame the HR policies and practices to promote LGBT inclusion.

### **Hypothesis**

# Effective HR initiatives and policies have a significant positive impact on LGBT inclusion in the workplace. Research Design

This study adopts a mixed-methods research methodology to thoroughly examine how HR initiatives contribute to LGBT inclusion in the workplace. By integrating both quantitative and qualitative techniques, the study captures measurable outcomes as well as deeper insights into individual experiences. Quantitative data will be collected through structured surveys featuring closed-ended and Likert-scale questions designed to assess employees'

understanding of HR policies, their perceptions of inclusivity, experiences of discrimination, job satisfaction, and engagement across diverse industries. Complementing this, qualitative data will be gathered through semistructured interviews with HR professionals, managers, diversity officers, and LGBT employees, conducted in person, via video conferencing platforms such as Zoom or Microsoft Teams, or through phone calls depending on participant convenience. Primary data will come from surveys, interviews, and focus group discussions, while secondary data will be sourced from academic journals, industry publications, HR and diversity websites, LGBT organizations, organizational documents. government reports, news articles, and academic databases like JSTOR, EBSCO, and ProOuest, Purposive sampling will be used to select organizations with active LGBTrelated initiatives, while convenience sampling will help obtain employee participation. Quantitative findings will be analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics, and qualitative data will be examined through thematic analysis to identify recurring themes and challenges. Throughout the study, ethical standards such as informed consent, confidentiality, and sensitivity toward LGBT identities will be upheld. This comprehensive methodology ensures a credible and nuanced understanding of the ways HR designs, implements, and evaluates LGBT inclusion strategies, offering valuable insights to strengthen inclusive workplace practices.

#### **Data Analysis and Interpretation**

This study presents the analysis of primary data collected through surveys and responses regarding HR initiatives, policies, and LGBT employee experiences within organizations. The findings are organized into thematic sections based on demographics, HR initiatives, HR policies, employee experiences, HR practices,

### Demographics Age Distribution

Half of the respondents (50%) are aged 18-24, followed by 45% aged 25-34. Only 5% fall into the 35-44 age group, and none are above 45. This reflects a respondent pool largely composed of younger individuals, with minimal representation from older age groups.

### **Gender Identity**

A majority of respondents identify as male (55%), followed by female (45%). No respondents identified as transgender, indicating a lack of gender-diverse representation in the sample.

# **Sexual Orientation**

A substantial majority (80%) identify as straight. A smaller portion (15%) identified as queer, while 5% selected "None of the above." No participants identified as gay, lesbian, bisexual, or asexual, limiting the diversity of sexual orientation in the dataset.

#### **Departmental Distribution**

Respondents were evenly distributed across HR, Marketing, and Finance departments (each 20%), while the remaining 40% belonged to "Other" departments. This indicates a

balanced but broad representation across workplace functions.

# LGBT employee Experience Work placed inclusiveness

This study analysed the perception of workplace Inclusivity using 5-point scale the results reveals that ratings of 3, 4, and 5 each received 25%, suggesting that half of the respondents view their workplace as moderately to highly inclusive. Meanwhile, 20% selected the lowest rating (1), reflecting non-inclusive environments. Only 5% chose rating 2. Overall, 50% feel the workplace is inclusive (ratings 4 and 5), while 25% feel excluded (ratings 1 and 2).

# Experience or Witnessing of LGBT-Related Discrimination

This study analysed that a large majority (80%) reported that they have not experienced or witnessed LGBT-related discrimination or harassment. However, 20%—or one in five respondents—indicated that they have encountered or observed such incidents, highlighting that discrimination remains an issue.

#### **HR Support in Addressing LGBT**

In the case of HR Support in Addressing LGBT Issues, this study highlights the rating

5, received the most responses (35%), indicating a generally positive perception of HR support. Rating 3 was selected by 30%, showing moderate satisfaction. Together, ratings 4 and 5 account for 50%, demonstrating that half of the respondents find HR to be supportive. Only 20% (ratings 1 and 2) view HR as unsupportive.

#### **HR** Initiatives

This study analysed the presence of LGBT inclusion Policies and the results shows that Half of the respondents (50%) reported that their organizations have an LGBT inclusion policy, while the remaining 50% indicated the absence of such a policy. These equal split highlights that only half of the organizations surveyed have formal measures for LGBT inclusion, suggesting considerable scope for improvement in establishments lacking structured policies.

In the case of types of HR Initiatives implemented the study analysed that gender-neutral facilities is the most widely implemented initiative and is reported by 50% of respondents. This is followed by other inclusion measures (20%), indicating additional, unspecified practices. Diversity training (12.5%) and LGBT employee resource groups (10%) are less common, and only 7.5% of respondents indicated the availability of inclusive benefits such as same-sex partner benefits. The data shows that while some initiatives are gaining traction, others remain underutilized.

In the case of effectiveness of LGBT inclusion initatives the study has found that

most of the respondents rated the effectiveness of initiatives at 4 (40%), indicating that many perceive them as fairly impactful. Ratings of 1, 3, and 5 were each selected by 20% of respondents, reflecting varied experiences ranging from ineffective to highly effective. No respondents chose scale 2, implying a perception that initiatives are either

moderately useful or significantly lacking. Overall, 60% (ratings 4 and 5) believe initiatives are effective, while 20% view them as ineffective.

#### **HR Policies and Practices**

In the existence of written policies of LGBT inclusion, only 35 percent of the respondents stated that their organizations have a documented LGBT inclusion policy, whereas 65% confirmed the absence of such a written policy. This indicates that the majority of organizations lack formalized guidelines addressing LGBT-related workplace concerns.

The most frequently adopted policy among organizations is the anti-discrimination policy, reported by 60% of respondents. This is followed by gender identity and expression policies, which are implemented by 45%. Policies offering same-sex partner benefits are far less common, with only 10% indicating their presence. Furthermore, 30% of respondents chose "Other," suggesting that some organizations have additional, unspecified policies related to LGBT inclusion.

Responses were mixed, with 55% stating that LGBT employees are not represented in leadership roles, while 45% reported having LGBT leaders in their organizations. This indicates a noticeable underrepresentation of LGBT individuals in higher-level positions and underscores a continuing gap in leadership diversity.

### Findings of the Study

This study has found that the following findings such as,

- Growing Awareness but Uneven Execution: Although many organizations are working toward LGBT inclusion, the consistency of policy implementation varies across departments and functional areas.
- Training Programs Show Mixed Effectiveness:
   Diversity and inclusion trainings are offered, but their impact is limited when sessions are irregular or lack depth.
- Support Resources Exist but Are Underused: LGBT employee resource groups and support networks remain underutilized due to low awareness or fears of stigma.
- Persistent Discrimination and Microaggressions:
   Despite HR policies promoting inclusion, LGBT employees continue to face subtle and overt forms of discrimination.
- Leadership Commitment Strengthens Inclusion: Visible support from senior leaders is strongly linked to more effective LGBT initiatives and a more inclusive workplace culture.
- Inclusive Healthcare and Benefits Matter: Offering equitable healthcare coverage and benefits plays a key role in helping LGBT employees feel valued and included.
- Recruitment and Retention of LGBT Talent Remain Priorities: HR departments are increasingly focusing on attracting and retaining LGBT employees, though barriers to full inclusion remain.
- Concerns About Privacy and Confidentiality: Fear of compromised confidentiality often discourages LGBT employees from participating in HR programs.
- **Positive Impact on Organizational Culture:** Effective LGBT-supportive policies contribute to a healthier

- work environment, improving teamwork and boosting overall morale.
- Challenges of Intersectionality: Addressing the overlapping identities of LGBT employees and other marginalized groups requires more sophisticated and sensitive HR approaches.

### **Suggestions**

This study has suggested following suggestions to the organizations

- Ensure Consistent Policy Application: Implement LGBT inclusion policies uniformly across all departments and locations so that every employee experiences the same level of support and protection.
- Strengthen Training Initiatives: Develop comprehensive, engaging diversity training that thoroughly addresses LGBT issues, and provide regular refresher sessions to keep the content relevant and impactful.
- Increase Visibility and Access to Support Resources: Promote LGBT support services more effectively by making information easy to find and widely communicated, reducing hesitation or barriers to participation.
- Reinforce Anti-Discrimination Efforts: Enforce strong anti-discrimination policies and offer additional training to address and prevent biases and microaggressions toward LGBT employees.
- Promote Strong Leadership Support: Embed LGBT inclusion into overall organizational goals and encourage senior leaders to demonstrate visible, active commitment through statements, actions, and participation in inclusion efforts.

## Conclusion

This study concludes that fostering LGBT inclusion in the workplace requires consistent HR efforts supported by strong leadership, clear policies, and meaningful training. Ensuring confidentiality, promoting equitable benefits, and addressing discrimination and microaggressions are essential for creating a safe and supportive environment. By integrating intersectionality and regularly evaluating inclusion initiatives, organizations can better meet the diverse needs of LGBT employees. Ultimately, these efforts lead to improved employee well-being, stronger engagement, and more positive organizational outcomes.

#### Deferences

- Badgett MVL, Durso LE, Schneebaum A. The business impact of LGBT-supportive workplace policies. The Williams Institute; 2013.
- 2. Catalyst. LGBTQ+ inclusion in the workplace. Catalyst Research Center for Corporate Practice; 2021.
- 3. Cech EA, Rothwell WR. LGBTQ inequalities in the workplace: Evidence from a national survey. Gender Soc. 2020;34(4):*full pages not provided in source*.
- 4. Davis T. Global trends in LGBT workplace inclusion. J Divers Manag. 2020;15(2):45-58.
- 5. Human Rights Campaign (HRC). Corporate equality index: Rating workplaces on LGBT equality. HRC Foundation; 2022.
- 6. Meyer IH. Prejudice, social stress, and mental health in

- lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations: Conceptual issues and research evidence. Psychol Bull. 2003;129(5):674-697.
- 7. Ng ES, Burke RJ. The next level of LGBT inclusion: Developing an inclusive culture. Equality Divers Inclusion J. 2019;volume/issue/pages not provided in source.
- 8. Nguyen A. HR-driven strategies for advancing LGBTQ inclusion in the workplace. Int J Hum Resour Stud. 2023;13(1):112-130.
- 9. Ozturk MB, Tatli A. Inclusion at work: Policy and practice for LGBT employees. Hum Resour Manag. 2016;55(1):127-143.
- 10. Shore LM, Cleveland JN, Sanchez D. Inclusive workplaces: A review and model. Hum Resour Manag Rev. 2018;28(2):176-189.
- 11. United Nations Human Rights Office. UN standards of conduct for business: Tackling discrimination against LGBTI people. 2017.
- 12. Crenshaw K. Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: A black feminist critique of antidiscrimination doctrine, feminist theory, and antiracist politics. Univ Chic Legal Forum. 1989;1989(1):Art. 8.
- 13. UN Women. LGBTIQ+ equality and rights: Internal resource guide. United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women; 2023 Jul.