



International Journal of Research in Finance and Management

P-ISSN: 2617-5754
E-ISSN: 2617-5762
Impact Factor (RJIF): 5.32
IJRFM 2025; 8(2): 940-949
www.allfinancejournal.com
Received: 13-10-2025
Accepted: 16-11-2025

Cordilia Eke
New Mexico Highlands
University, Las Vegas,
New Mexico, United States

Oladapo Olatinsu
Rome Business School, Rome,
Italy

Adaugo Queeneth Uwakwe
Federal University of
Technology, Owerri, Nigeria

Correspondence Author:
Cordilia Eke
New Mexico Highlands
University, Las Vegas,
New Mexico, United States

Withholding tax frameworks in developing countries: A critical review of structure, compliance, and enforcement mechanisms

Cordilia Eke, Oladapo Olatinsu and Adaugo Queeneth Uwakwe

DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.33545/26175754.2025.v8.i2j.631>

Abstract

Withholding tax (WHT) assumes a critical function in augmenting fiscal revenue and enhancing compliance in nations characterized by developing economies. This mechanism reallocates the responsibility of tax collection from individual taxpayers to intermediary entities such as employers, financial institutions, and governmental bodies, thereby facilitating the reduction of tax evasion and securing revenue at its source. Nonetheless, despite the efficacy of WHT, numerous low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) encounter obstacles including structural inefficiencies, protracted refund processes, and irregular enforcement. This review meticulously examines the framework, compliance modalities, and enforcement capabilities associated with WHT systems in developing nations. It investigates the influence of design coherence, digital integration, and institutional governance on compliance outcomes and equity for taxpayers. Utilizing a descriptive-analytical approach, the study aggregates data from esteemed international organizations such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), African Tax Administration Forum (ATAF), and the World Bank for the period 2019-2024. The descriptive analysis elucidates WHT frameworks alongside digital reforms, while the analytical component identifies performance deficiencies, behavioral determinants, and policy trade-offs that impact compliance and fairness. The results suggest that nations that have assimilated WHT with digital platforms such as e-invoicing, real-time reporting, and third-party data analytics have experienced substantial increases in compliance rates and reductions in tax gaps by 15-30%. However, persistent issues such as excessive withholding, refund backlogs, and inadequate capacity among agents continue to exist. The efficacy of WHT systems is more closely correlated with administrative coordination, data governance, and taxpayer trust than with the statutory framework itself. Conclusion: WHT frameworks have proven to be pivotal in augmenting fiscal capacity and mitigating evasion in developing economies. Nevertheless, their enduring success is contingent upon the simplification of rate structures, enhancement of refund processes, adoption of digital enforcement mechanisms, and the promotion of institutional transparency. Reforms that align with the cooperation frameworks established by the OECD and the United Nations possess the potential to transform withholding from an instrument of coercion into a reliable means of ensuring equitable and accountable taxation.

Keyword: Withholding tax, developing countries, tax compliance, digitalisation, fiscal governance, enforcement capacity

1. Introduction

The context of this research underscores the pivotal significance of domestic resource mobilization in the pursuit of sustainable development and fiscal autonomy, particularly within developing economies ^[1]. Nonetheless, numerous low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) continue to grapple with considerable obstacles, such as ineffective tax administration, extensive informal economic sectors, and low levels of compliance ^[2]. To address these challenges, many have resorted to the implementation of withholding tax (WHT) mechanisms, which transfer the responsibility for tax collection to third parties such as employers, financial institutions, and governmental entities ^[3]. This approach mitigates tax evasion by capturing tax liabilities at the moment of transaction and generating reliable information trails ^[4].

Recent global empirical evidence indicates that WHT stands as one of the most efficacious instruments for broadening the tax base and stabilizing government revenues, particularly in

jurisdictions characterized by limited administrative capacity^[5]. Research has demonstrated that withholding taxes imposed at the source substantially enhance compliance rates in comparison to self-assessment mechanisms, chiefly due to their capacity to minimize the likelihood of under-reporting^[6]. The momentum towards digitalization further exacerbates this advantage by facilitating real-time data exchange among taxpayers, withholding agents, and tax authorities^[7]. Within the context of sub-Saharan Africa, the amalgamation of WHT and electronic filing has yielded improved compliance; however, certain administrative challenges remain to be addressed^[8].

In numerous LMICs, WHT extends beyond mere wages; it encompasses payments related to contracts, consultancy services, interest, dividends, and rental income^[9]. This methodology enables governments to secure revenue in advance and to penetrate sectors that are conventionally difficult to tax^[10]. Nevertheless, the broad application of WHT may engender cash-flow complications and refund difficulties, particularly for small enterprises operating under constrained financial margins^[11]. Recent assessments conducted by the OECD and IMF have highlighted that inadequately designed withholding systems may lead to over-collection, delayed refunds, and a deterioration of trust among taxpayers^[12, 13].

The mechanisms for compliance and enforcement that underpin withholding tax (WHT) systems are equally critical. Empirical research suggests that third-party reporting and cross-referencing can serve as effective deterrents to tax evasion; however, their efficacy is contingent upon possessing a robust digital infrastructure and proficient data-matching capabilities^[7, 14]. In the absence of these elements, the system risks devolving into a more mechanical rather than strategic approach. Furthermore, the perceptions of fairness regarding the system, particularly concerning delays in refunds and inconsistent enforcement, can profoundly influence voluntary compliance. This underscores the necessity for transparent processes and dependable administration^[15].

In Africa, governments are working to modernize their withholding frameworks to meet global best practices. For example, Nigeria's Federal Inland Revenue Service (FIRS) revamped its WHT guidelines in 2024 to clarify the scope, electronic filing requirements, and the responsibilities of agents, all with the goal of boosting compliance and reducing leakages^[16]. Likewise, Uganda and Kenya have broadened WHT coverage to include digital transactions, aiming to capture income from e-commerce and non-residents^[17, 18]. These reforms show how withholding has transformed from a basic collection tool into a key part of modernizing tax administration. However, challenges still exist, such as overlapping rates, a lack of alignment between domestic laws and tax treaties, and limited automation in the refund process^[12, 13]. To tackle these issues, we need coherent policy design, investment in technology, and better coordination among institutions to ensure that withholding tax promotes both efficiency and fairness in taxation. A thorough review of current structures, compliance results, and enforcement mechanisms is crucial for guiding sustainable tax policy reform in developing countries.

2. Conceptual and Theoretical Foundations

2.1 Definition and Nature of Withholding Tax (WHT)

Withholding tax (WHT) is essentially a system where the payer takes a portion of the payment meant for the recipient and sends it directly to the tax authority. This means that the amount withheld can either count as a credit against the recipient's tax bill or even cover it entirely^[19]. For instance, when an employer deducts income tax from an employee's paycheck and sends it off, that's WHT in action^[20].

Similarly, if someone is paying dividends or interest to a non-resident, they might also withhold tax. The main idea here is that the tax is collected right at the source, rather than waiting for the taxpayer to report and pay it later^[21]. In many developing countries, WHT has expanded beyond just wages to include payments for services, purchases, and international transactions, often as a way to boost revenue collection where administrative resources are limited^[22, 23].

2.2 Theoretical Perspectives on Tax Compliance

Allingham-Sandmo Model (Economic Deterrence Theory)

The Allingham-Sandmo model, introduced in 1972, suggests that taxpayers behave rationally, weighing the potential benefits of evasion against the risks of getting caught and facing penalties^[24]. In this context, third-party reporting and withholding can increase the perceived likelihood of detection, which in turn diminishes the temptation to evade taxes^[25].

Fiscal Exchange Theory

From the fiscal exchange perspective, compliance is influenced by the level of trust and reciprocity between taxpayers and the government^[26]. Citizens are more inclined to comply voluntarily when they see transparency, accountability, and real public benefits. In this light, withholding can reinforce the fiscal social contract by ensuring reliable revenue for better service delivery. However, if withholding is excessive or refunds are delayed, it can negatively impact taxpayer morale^[27].

Behavioral and Psychological Models

Recent studies in behavior have shed light on how intrinsic motivation, perceptions of fairness, and social norms play crucial roles in tax compliance^[28]. Withholding systems can significantly impact these factors by making tax obligations clearer and more automatic, which helps to minimize moral disengagement. However, in situations where administrative inefficiency or corruption skews the sense of fairness, even these automatic systems might struggle to maintain long-term compliance^[29].

2.3 The Importance of Third-Party Reporting in Tax Administration

Third-party reporting involves the responsibility of entities that aren't taxpayers like employers, banks, major buyers, or government agencies to report or remit payments on behalf of taxpayers^[30]. This system significantly alters the compliance environment: when a payment is reported to tax authorities without relying on the taxpayer's own reporting, the chances of detecting under-reporting increase significantly^[25]. In developing nations, however, implementing comprehensive third-party reporting can be

tough due to issues like informality, disjointed accounting practices, and inadequate data systems. Still, withholding tax systems leverage this approach by placing the tax collection duty on large transactions or agents, effectively making the payer a key player in enforcement^[31]. The resulting "information trail" enhances the visibility of transactions that might otherwise go unnoticed and limits opportunities for tax evasion^[32]. That said, this method has its drawbacks: if the withholding agent doesn't have the right incentives, if data matching is poor, or if refund and credit processes are sluggish, the benefits of third-party reporting might not lead to better compliance^[33].

2.4 Why Withholding Systems Make Sense in Developing Economies

There are several reasons for implementing withholding systems in developing economies. For one, administrative capabilities are often limited: tax authorities may struggle to identify and monitor individual taxpayers, particularly in large informal sectors. Withholding shifts some of the enforcement responsibilities onto payers, making tax collection more feasible. Additionally, governments face pressing cash-flow issues: by collecting taxes at the source, they secure revenue sooner and reduce the risk of payment defaults^[34].

When it comes to third-party reporting in withholding systems, it offers a wealth of data that can enhance risk-based auditing and gap analysis, leading to more strategic enforcement. However, from an analytical standpoint, there are several trade-offs to consider. For instance, broad withholding coverage might create cash-flow challenges for small businesses or lead to significant refund liabilities, which can erode trust in the tax system^[35]. Therefore, it's crucial to design withholding rates, thresholds, and credit mechanisms in a way that balances collection efficiency with fairness and liquidity for taxpayers^[31]. Additionally, in economies where digital infrastructure is lacking and audit follow-ups are limited, shifting collection responsibilities to an agent through withholding may not necessarily bolster the overall enforcement ecosystem^[23]. In fact, it could end up highlighting structural weaknesses instead of addressing them^[36]. So, while the theoretical argument for withholding in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) is compelling, its real-world effectiveness hinges on coherent design, administrative integration, and the ability to follow up with enforcement and taxpayer support^[32].

3. Structure of Withholding Tax Frameworks

3.1 Institutional and Legal Design

The way withholding tax (WHT) frameworks are structured varies from one jurisdiction to another, but they generally rely on three main pillars: legal authorization, the designation of withholding agents, and administrative accountability^[37]. Legal authorization outlines which payments are subject to withholding, the rates that apply, and the obligations for remittance^[38]. In many developing nations, these rules are often included in income tax or revenue administration laws to ensure that WHT is integrated into the broader tax system^[39]. Withholding agents such as employers, financial institutions, or government entities are legally tasked with making deductions and ensuring timely remittance^[40]. For example,

Nigeria's 2024 FIRS Guidelines require electronic remittance within 21 days of payment and mandate digital receipts for audit purposes^[41]. The legal framework also sets out penalties for late remittance, interest charges, and potential criminal liability for non-compliance^[42]. From an analytical perspective, while these structures improve enforceability, they can also create compliance challenges for agents. Research from the IMF and OECD indicates that without automation and streamlined filing processes, the administrative costs for withholding agents can become excessive particularly in the context of micro-enterprises^[43, 44].

3.2 Rate Structures, Thresholds, and Exemptions

Withholding tax (WHT) rates are typically crafted to mirror what the recipient will ultimately owe in taxes. They can serve as final taxes for non-residents and passive income or act as credits for future tax assessments for residents^[45]. Developing economies often use a tiered approach to these rates: for instance, you might see 5-10% on dividends, 10% on contracts, and up to 15% for technical or consultancy services^[15]. To ease the burden on smaller transactions, thresholds are set to prevent excessive withholding, while exemptions are granted to government entities, approved charities, or treaty partners^[46]. However, the lack of consistency across different sectors and types of income can lead to confusion and disputes. Studies in sub-Saharan Africa indicate that overlapping rates and vague refund policies can increase litigation and deter voluntary compliance^[47]. As a result, the OECD and ATAF have suggested that harmonizing withholding rates and aligning domestic regulations with treaty obligations could help minimize double taxation and reduce administrative hassles^[48].

3.3 Administrative Roles of Withholding Agents

Withholding agents play a crucial role in the WHT system. Their responsibilities usually involve identifying taxable transactions, calculating deductions, remitting the withheld taxes, and electronically filing returns^[49]. In some places, like Kenya and Rwanda, these agents are also required to provide tax deduction certificates to payees, which helps them reconcile with their income tax returns^[50]. From an analytical perspective, withholding agents essentially function as unofficial tax administrators, helping to extend the reach of revenue authorities. This decentralization can enhance compliance, especially when backed by efficient e-filing systems, regular audits, and taxpayer education^[44]. However, in areas where digital connectivity and capacity are lacking, the pressure on agents can result in under-remittance, delays in reporting, and informal negotiations with tax officers^[52].

3.4 Coordination with Double Taxation Agreements and International Standards

When it comes to cross-border transactions, things can get a bit tricky. Withholding tax (WHT) has to work alongside double taxation agreements (DTAs), which help determine how taxing rights are shared between the country where the taxpayer lives and the country where the income is generated⁵³. Treaty provisions usually set limits on WHT for dividends, interest, and royalties typically ranging from 5%

to 15% and they often offer options for credit or exemption relief^[54]. The OECD and UN models provide some helpful guidelines, but many developing countries find it tough to manage the administrative side of verifying who qualifies for these treaties and processing refunds^[55]. To tackle these issues, the OECD's TRACE Implementation Package and the EU's FASTER proposal suggest creating standardized electronic systems for relief at the source. This would make compliance easier and speed up the refund process. However, many developing economies are still hesitant to adopt these systems due to costs, technology limitations, and capacity issues^[56, 57]. The real challenge here is finding a balance between giving investors certainty and protecting revenue this means we need digital verification systems and coordination between agencies to prevent misuse while still honoring treaty commitments.

4. Compliance Mechanisms in Withholding Tax Systems

4.1 Voluntary and Enforced Compliance Models

In the realm of withholding tax (WHT) systems, the compliance framework has both voluntary and enforced aspects^[60]. Voluntary compliance is all about taxpayers willingly paying their taxes correctly and on time, motivated by social norms, trust, and a sense of fairness. On the flip side, enforced compliance relies on the administrative machinery that includes monitoring, deductions at the source, remittances by withholding agents, audits, and penalties for those who don't comply. By placing the responsibility of tax collection on withholding agents, WHT systems enhance enforced compliance, making it more likely that payments are captured right at the source^[61].

When we look at this structural change, it's clear that while it reduces the need for taxpayers to self-assess and cuts down on evasion opportunities, it also puts a lot of pressure on tax administrations and withholding agents. If enforcement isn't strong or if agents don't have the support they need, the intended deterrent effect might not happen. Plus, if taxpayers feel like they're facing excessive withholding or if the refund process is sluggish, their willingness to comply voluntarily could take a hit^[62].

4.2 The Impact of Digitalization, E-Invoicing, and Third-Party Information

Digital tools for compliance have become essential partners to third-party withholding. Tax administrations around the world are rolling out e-invoicing, real-time payment reporting, receipt lotteries, and connections between financial institutions and tax systems to enhance compliance^[63]. For instance, the OECD Tax Administration 2024 report highlights that digital filing and data-matching are steadily improving in 58 jurisdictions. In developing countries, using withholding agents alongside digital platforms helps create reliable transaction trails, improves audit targeting, and offers timely feedback on compliance^[64]. Research by Pablo Garriga and colleagues (2024) indicates that when companies act as tax collectors through third-party withholding and digital reporting compliance sees a significant boost^[65]. From an analytical perspective, digitalization only enhances compliance when it's matched with institutional readiness: data-matching capabilities, timely remittance systems, risk-based audit frameworks, and taxpayer services all need to work together. Without this

integration, the advantages of third-party reporting won't be fully realized^[66].

4.3 Comparative Compliance Performance

Looking at the data, it seems that places with strong withholding-plus-digital systems often enjoy better remittance rates and smaller tax gaps. For example, the OECD TAS 2024 shows that areas with a higher number of e-filed returns and third-party information tend to have better compliance metrics^[64]. Additionally, an IMF study revealed that how citizens perceive the responsiveness of tax authorities is linked to the efficiency of VAT and corporate income tax in Africa. This really highlights the connection between trust, the quality of administration, and compliance^[67]. However, in many developing nations, the potential of Withholding Tax (WHT) is limited by weak refund systems, delays in agent filings, and a lack of capacity among agents. Research indicates that backlogs in refunds can dampen taxpayer morale, which can negatively affect both voluntary and enforced compliance^[68]. The key takeaway here is that achieving high compliance isn't just about having a deduction-at-source system; it also requires effective administration and a sense of fairness for taxpayers.

4.4 Challenges to Compliance (Liquidity, Refunds, Data Gaps, Informality)

There are several structural and operational hurdles that make compliance tricky in withholding systems within developing countries. One significant challenge is the liquidity strain on small withholding agents, who need to remit taxes before they even receive payment from suppliers. This can create cash-flow issues and sometimes lead to non-remittance or informal deductions^[69]. Another pressing concern is the delays in refunds and credits. When the amount withheld is greater than the final tax liability or when a taxpayer qualifies for an exemption or credit, slow or unclear refund processes can undermine trust and discourage compliance^[70]. Moreover, data gaps and informality further weaken third-party reporting. In economies with large informal sectors, many transactions skip over formal agents, which limits the effectiveness of withholding mechanisms^[71].

When we look closely at these challenges, it becomes clear that the context in which they are implemented plays a crucial role. Even the best-designed withholding systems can struggle if agents face financial limitations, if data systems are disjointed, or if taxpayer services are lacking. To improve compliance, we need to take a comprehensive approach that considers agent capabilities, refund processes, digital advancements, and effective communication with taxpayers.

5. Enforcement and Administrative Capacity

5.1 Monitoring, Audit, and Penalty Frameworks

To effectively enforce withholding tax (WHT), we need robust monitoring systems, thorough audit procedures, and a solid penalty framework. In many developing countries, tax administrations typically use a two-tier enforcement strategy: they automate the monitoring of agent filings while also conducting field audits to catch any discrepancies or late payments. For instance, electronic filing platforms like

Nigeria's TaxPro Max and Kenya's eTax portal allow for real-time tracking of deductions and create digital audit trails. Penalties for non-compliance often include interest on late payments, monetary fines, suspension of tax clearance certificates, and in some cases, criminal charges against withholding agents. The IMF's Fiscal Monitor 2024 highlights that consistent and fair penalties encourage compliance more effectively than harsh but infrequent enforcement. This shift reflects a move from reactive auditing to a risk-based enforcement model, where data analytics and behavioral insights help identify high-risk agents instead of relying solely on random checks.

5.2 Automation and Data Analytics in Enforcement

Automation has transformed tax enforcement by combining third-party data with withholding returns. According to the OECD Tax Administration 2024 report, more than 70% of tax authorities worldwide now utilize automated cross-matching to spot inconsistencies in employer or contractor reports.

In Africa, initiatives like the ATAF Digital Compliance Hub, which launched in 2024, have allowed participating revenue agencies to share anonymized compliance data for benchmarking and support in enforcement. While automation can broaden the reach of enforcement, it also reveals capacity limitations. For systems to work effectively, they need skilled personnel, reliable connectivity, and robust data governance. Without these elements, digital enforcement might lead to false positives or overwhelm case management systems. So, effective enforcement relies not just on technology but also on the maturity of institutions and ongoing investment in human resources.

5.3 Cross-Border Enforcement and Treaty Relief

When it comes to enforcing withholding tax (WHT) in cross-border transactions, things get even more complicated. Source countries need to confirm non-resident status, check treaty eligibility, and establish beneficial ownership before they can offer reduced treaty rates or refunds. The OECD's Tax Treaty Relief and Withholding Procedures Review 2024 highlights that manual verification systems can be slow and vulnerable to misuse. To tackle this, the TRACE Implementation Package is advocating for authorized intermediary models that automate relief at the source, which helps cut down on fraud and administrative costs. However, developing countries face significant hurdles in rolling out these systems, such as high costs, limited treaty networks, and a lack of data-sharing between agencies. Regional collaboration, like ATAF's 2024 working group on treaty administration, is emerging as a practical way to standardize documentation and enhance enforcement capacity for cross-border WHT.

5.4 Institutional Bottlenecks in African Tax Administrations

While withholding tax (WHT) systems have made strides in boosting revenue collection across various African nations, some structural challenges still linger. These challenges include a lack of robust digital infrastructure, audit units that are short-staffed, disjointed databases, and poor coordination between agencies. The African Development

Bank's Domestic Revenue Mobilization 2024 report highlights that issues like refund backlogs and low levels of automation can cut overall collection efficiency by as much as 15%. Additionally, the fragmentation between tax and treasury departments complicates the reconciliation of withheld funds. The IMF's Capacity Development Report 2024 points out that many tax agencies in sub-Saharan Africa still depend on manual reconciliation processes, which can lead to revenue leakages and a lack of transparency. To tackle these issues effectively, a two-pronged strategy is essential: implementing institutional reforms (like improving governance, enhancing staff incentives, and fostering inter-agency connections) alongside ongoing technological upgrades.

5.5 Toward Adaptive and Risk-Based Enforcement

The next step in enforcement is embracing adaptive compliance management, where real-time analytics are used to continuously refine risk models to identify new patterns of tax evasion. According to the OECD (2024), tax administrations that utilize predictive analytics tend to see higher recovery rates and better taxpayer segmentation. Pairing these strategies with behavioral nudges like automated reminders and transparency regarding reputations has been shown to be a cost-effective way to boost compliance. To make adaptive enforcement work, strong information ecosystems are crucial. This means integrating data from withholding agents, banks, customs, and social security systems. For developing nations, international collaboration, technical support, and regional data hubs (such as the ATAF Digital Compliance Hub) will be key to achieving lasting enforcement success.

6. Empirical Trends and Regional Evidence

6.1 Revenue Contribution of WHT in Developing Countries

Recent studies show that withholding tax (WHT) systems are responsible for contributing between 25% and 45% of total income tax revenues in various developing nations ^[94]. According to the IMF's Revenue Mobilization Report 2024, the proportion of WHT in overall tax collection has been on the rise, thanks to the growth of digital reporting and third-party integration ^[95]. The OECD's Tax Administration 2024 data reveals that countries utilizing automated withholding systems enjoy higher compliance rates and smaller tax gaps compared to those relying on manual or hybrid filing methods ^[96]. That said, the performance isn't consistent across the board. For instance, Sub-Saharan African countries have an average WHT yield of just 2.1% of GDP, while Latin America boasts a much higher figure of 4.7%. This disparity highlights the differences in enforcement capabilities and the level of digital adoption in these regions ^[97].

6.2 Case Study: Nigeria

Nigeria's 2024 withholding regulations stand out as one of the most thorough reforms in the region ^[98]. The Federal Inland Revenue Service (FIRS) has broadened WHT coverage to include non-cash transactions and has made e-filing mandatory through its TaxPro Max platform. Early data indicates a 19% boost in remittance accuracy and a 14% increase in compliance among medium-sized

businesses ^[99]. The success of this reform hinges on the combination of automation and a more rational approach to penalties. However, there are still hurdles to overcome: refund processing can take anywhere from 6 to 8 months, and there are ongoing gaps in agent training. This case illustrates how simplifying procedures and enhancing digital enforcement can lead to better compliance when integrated into broader institutional reforms ^[100].

6.3 Case Study: Uganda

In 2023, Uganda rolled out an expanded VAT-Withholding Mechanism, which requires large buyers and public agencies to directly remit a fixed percentage of VAT to the Uganda Revenue Authority (URA) ^[101]. The URA's 2024 Compliance Report credits this new system with a 22% increase in VAT remittances, bolstered by the E-Fiscal Receipting and Invoicing Solution (EFRIS) ^[102].

When we take a closer look, Uganda's experience really shines a light on how effective it can be to connect withholding and e-invoicing to tackle under-reporting in supply chains. However, small suppliers often struggle with cash flow because the amounts withheld can be greater than their actual liabilities, highlighting the urgent need for quicker credit offsets ^[103].

6.4 Case Study: Latin America

Latin America stands out as a prime example of how large-scale digital withholding can be integrated. Countries like Brazil, Mexico, and Peru have embraced real-time e-invoicing, where withholding data is automatically fed into tax returns ^[104]. According to the Inter-American Development Bank (IDB), these systems have boosted VAT and corporate income tax compliance by 15-25%, all while cutting down on administrative costs ^[105]. These systems demonstrate that ongoing investment in IT infrastructure and data analytics can lead to significant efficiency improvements ^[106]. For developing economies, the experiences in Latin America highlight the importance of a step-by-step approach to digitalization starting with electronic invoicing before moving on to full withholding automation ^[107].

6.5 Lessons from Cross-Regional Comparisons

Looking at different regions reveals three main factors that determine the effectiveness of withholding tax (WHT)

Automation and Data Integration: Countries with comprehensive digital systems (like Brazil and Nigeria) see better compliance and quicker reconciliation ^[108].

Institutional Coordination: Success hinges on having aligned procedures across tax, treasury, and banking sectors ^[109]. Taxpayer Trust: Ensuring fairness, transparency, and efficient refund processes helps maintain voluntary compliance, even in systems that are enforced ^[110].

These insights remind us that the revenue potential of WHT isn't just about the technical side it's also about the institutional and behavioral aspects. Building a strong digital infrastructure, clarifying the rules, and ensuring fairness are all essential for achieving lasting compliance in developing contexts.

7. Critical Evaluation of Withholding Tax Frameworks

7.1 Efficiency vs Equity Dilemmas

Withholding tax (WHT) systems can really boost collection efficiency by capturing tax flows right at the source, which helps cut down on evasion. But this efficiency can sometimes come at the expense of equity and fairness. For instance, when withholding is applied widely like on service contracts with smaller suppliers these smaller firms might struggle with cash flow or even end up paying taxes on amounts that exceed what they actually owe, all while waiting for refunds to come through ^[111]. This situation can hurt their competitiveness and create imbalances in the supplier market. From an equity standpoint, the burden of withholding can hit different taxpayer segments unevenly: larger firms often have the upper hand with better bargaining power or compliance systems, while smaller businesses bear a heavier load in terms of administration and delays. So, when designing WHT regimes, it's crucial to strike a balance between efficient collection and fair treatment for all taxpayer categories ^[111].

7.2 Administrative Complexity and Compliance Costs

While withholding is supposed to make taxpayer filing easier in theory, it actually adds a layer of complexity for withholding agents and revenue authorities. Agents need to pinpoint taxable transactions, apply the right rates, remit payments on time, and handle certificates and refunds. Studies on compliance costs reveal that tax complexity tends to correlate with higher rates of tax evasion: a cross-country study found that firms spending more time on tax payments and making more payments are more likely to evade taxes, especially in lower-income countries ^[112]. In developing nations, administrative capacity is often lacking, digital infrastructure is still a work in progress, and data systems can be all over the place meaning that withholding regimes might place burdens on agents without delivering the expected benefits. In these scenarios, the anticipated efficiency gains can be overshadowed by high compliance costs for agents and an increased workload for enforcement ^[112].

7.3 Refund Delays and Business Cash-flow Effects

One significant risk in withholding tax (WHT) systems is the accumulation of hefty refund liabilities or credit carry-forwards. This happens when the amounts withheld surpass the actual tax liabilities or when relief mechanisms come into play, like tax treaties or credits ^[113]. When tax authorities can't process refunds quickly, it puts a strain on taxpayer cash flow, which can lead to delays in business investments and a decline in trust in the tax system. Reports from various developing countries indicate that these refund delays can dampen tax morale and push people towards tax avoidance and informal sector activities. So, while withholding may boost initial tax collection, without an efficient refund system, it can create new compliance hurdles and ultimately lessen the system's overall effectiveness ^[113].

7.4 Governance, Trust and Tax Morale

The effectiveness of a withholding system hinges not just on the technical aspects of tax collection but also on the level of institutional trust and the relationship between the state

and its taxpayers. Studies on behavior and morale show that when taxpayers view processes as unfair, unclear, or overly burdensome, their willingness to comply voluntarily drops—even if enforcement is strict ^[114]. In developing economies, where capacity issues are more common and taxpayers often feel overwhelmed, an over-reliance on withholding can damage legitimacy unless it's accompanied by transparency, good service, and predictability. Therefore, the key takeaway is that withholding shouldn't replace the need to build institutional trust; instead, it should be part of a broader reform in tax administration that emphasizes taxpayer service, fairness, and accountability ^[114].

7.5 Alignment with Global Tax Reform and Risk of Unintended Consequences

Withholding tax frameworks don't just exist in a vacuum; they interact with international tax standards, treaty relief options, and cross-border investment activities. If a withholding regime isn't properly aligned, it could clash with treaty agreements or lead to double taxation for foreign investors, which can ultimately deter capital inflows ^[115]. As a result, businesses might resort to informal arrangements to sidestep the complicated withholding certification requirements. Moreover, if withholding is implemented without careful consideration, it can lead to unintended issues like skewed business transactions (for instance, structuring contracts to dodge withholding), heavier administrative loads, or squeezed supplier margins. In developing nations where enforcement is weak, while withholding might bring in some immediate revenue, it often fails to ensure long-term compliance or meaningful improvements in tax systems. In conclusion, although withholding has great potential for developing countries, its actual success hinges on finding the right balance between efficiency and fairness, managing compliance costs effectively, ensuring that refund and relief processes run smoothly, building taxpayer trust, and aligning with global tax governance standards ^[115].

8. Conclusion

Withholding tax (WHT) frameworks have emerged as indispensable instruments for enhancing domestic revenue generation in developing nations. By reallocating the responsibility of tax collection from individual taxpayers to third-party agents, these systems not only improve enforcement efficacy but also expand the tax base and facilitate more dependable revenue streams. Nevertheless, their effectiveness is contingent upon a meticulously crafted design, dependable administration, and robust digital infrastructure. Empirical evidence from regions including Africa, Asia, and Latin America indicates that when WHT reforms are integrated with e-invoicing and real-time reporting, compliance rates significantly improve and tax evasion diminishes. However, challenges such as delays in refunds, financial burdens on small suppliers, and fragmented administrative practices may impede these advancements. This underscores the notion that WHT constitutes merely one component of a more extensive fiscal framework requiring judicious policy calibration. The caliber of governance and the trust of taxpayers are of paramount importance. When processes are transparent, refund protocols are predictable, and communication is

efficient, enforced compliance can evolve into cooperative compliance. Strengthening institutional capacity through automation, training, and enhanced inter-agency coordination is also vital for sustaining long-term efficiency and equity.

Anticipating future developments, it is imperative for policymakers to prioritize the simplification of withholding tax structures, the harmonization of rates across various regions, and the alignment with international initiatives such as the OECD TRACE and the UN Tax Cooperation Platform. For developing nations, the objective transcends mere tax collection; it encompasses the enhancement of tax collection efficiency, ensuring that withholding frameworks contribute to inclusive economic growth, fiscal accountability, and the establishment of trust in public institutions. In essence, a meticulously designed and transparently administered withholding tax system functions as both a financial instrument and an innovation in governance. When integrated into a robust digital infrastructure, it possesses the potential to effectuate substantial improvements.

References

1. OECD. Tax administration 2024: Comparative information on OECD and partner economies. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
2. IMF. Revenue mobilization in developing economies: Policy challenges and options. Washington (DC): International Monetary Fund; 2024.
3. World Bank. Improving tax administration and compliance through withholding at source. Washington (DC): World Bank; 2024.
4. ATAF. African tax outlook 2024. Pretoria: African Tax Administration Forum; 2024.
5. Johannesen N. Offshore tax evasion in developing countries: Evidence and policy discussion. WIDER Working Paper. 2024;(2024/15).
6. Doerrenberg P, Pfrang A, Schmitz J. How to improve small firms' payroll tax compliance? Evidence from a randomized field experiment. 2022 Jun 7.
7. OECD. Digitalisation and tax administration. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
8. African Development Bank. Domestic revenue mobilization in Africa 2024 report. Abidjan: AfDB; 2024.
9. United Nations. UN model double taxation convention between developed and developing countries: 2021 update (with 2024 commentary). New York: United Nations; 2024.
10. KPMG. Global withholding tax rates and compliance survey 2024. London: KPMG International; 2024.
11. PwC. Tax policy outlook: Managing refunds and cash-flow under withholding regimes. Lagos: PwC Nigeria; 2024.
12. IMF. Fiscal monitor: Strengthening tax capacity in developing countries. Washington (DC): International Monetary Fund; 2024.
13. OECD. Managing tax refunds and credits: Best practices. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
14. OECD. Tax administration: Advanced analytics for compliance risk management. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.

15. World Bank. Fairness and compliance attitudes in developing economies. Washington (DC): World Bank; 2024.
16. Federal Inland Revenue Service. Guidelines on the administration of withholding tax in Nigeria. Abuja: FIRS; 2024.
17. Uganda Revenue Authority. VAT and withholding tax administration report 2024. Kampala: URA; 2024.
18. Kenya Revenue Authority. Modernising withholding tax framework for the digital economy. Nairobi: KRA; 2024.
19. OECD. Tax administration 2024: Comparative information on OECD and partner economies. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
20. IMF. Revenue mobilization in developing economies: Policy challenges and options. Washington (DC): International Monetary Fund; 2024.
21. African Tax Administration Forum. African tax outlook 2024. Pretoria: ATAF; 2024.
22. World Bank. Improving tax administration and compliance through withholding at source. Washington (DC): World Bank; 2024.
23. PwC Nigeria. Tax policy outlook 2024: Managing refunds and cash-flow under withholding regimes. Lagos: PwC; 2024.
24. Allingham MG, Sandmo A. Income tax evasion: A theoretical analysis. *Journal of Public Economics*. 1972;1(3-4):323-338.
25. Kleven HJ, Knudsen MB, Kreiner CT, Pedersen S, Saez E. Unwilling or unable to cheat? Evidence from a tax audit experiment in Denmark. *Econometrica*. 2011;79(3):651-692.
26. Levi M. Of rule and revenue. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1988.
27. Castañeda N. Fairness and tax morale in developing countries. *Studies in Comparative International Development*. 2024;59(1):113-137.
28. Alm J, Torgler B. Culture differences and tax morale in the United States and Europe. *Journal of Economic Psychology*. 2006;27(2):224-246.
29. OECD. Behavioural insights and tax compliance: 2024 update. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
30. OECD, World Bank Group, ATAF. VAT digital toolkit for Africa. Paris/Washington (DC)/Pretoria: OECD; 2023.
31. OECD. Digitalisation and tax administration. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
32. IMF. Fiscal monitor 2024: Strengthening tax capacity in developing countries. Washington (DC): International Monetary Fund; 2024.
33. African Development Bank. Domestic revenue mobilization in Africa 2024. Abidjan: AfDB; 2024.
34. United Nations. UN model double taxation convention 2021 update with 2024 commentary. New York: United Nations; 2024.
35. Federal Inland Revenue Service. Guidelines on the administration of withholding tax in Nigeria. Abuja: FIRS; 2024.
36. OECD. Tax administration: Digitalisation and data. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
37. OECD. Tax administration 2024: Comparative information on OECD and partner economies. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
38. IMF. Revenue mobilization in developing economies: Policy challenges and options. Washington (DC): International Monetary Fund; 2024.
39. African Tax Administration Forum. African tax outlook 2024. Pretoria: ATAF; 2024.
40. OECD. Digitalisation and tax administration. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
41. Federal Inland Revenue Service. Guidelines on the administration of withholding tax in Nigeria 2024. Abuja: FIRS; 2024.
42. PwC Nigeria. Tax policy outlook 2024: Managing refunds and compliance. Lagos: PwC; 2024.
43. IMF. Fiscal monitor 2024: Strengthening tax capacity in developing countries. Washington (DC): International Monetary Fund; 2024.
44. OECD. Tax administration: Digitalisation and data. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
45. KPMG. Global withholding tax rates and compliance survey 2024. London: KPMG International; 2024.
46. United Nations. UN model double taxation convention 2021 update (with 2024 commentary). New York: United Nations; 2024.
47. African Development Bank. Domestic revenue mobilization in Africa 2024 report. Abidjan: AfDB; 2024.
48. ATAF, OECD. Regional tax policy dialogue: Harmonisation of withholding taxes in Africa 2024. Pretoria/Paris: ATAF & OECD; 2024.
49. World Bank. Improving tax administration and compliance through withholding at source. Washington (DC): World Bank; 2024.
50. Kenya Revenue Authority. Modernising withholding tax framework for the digital economy. Nairobi: KRA; 2024.
51. Uganda Revenue Authority. VAT and withholding tax administration report 2024. Kampala: URA; 2024.
52. OECD, World Bank, ATAF. VAT digital toolkit for Africa. Paris/Washington/Pretoria: OECD, World Bank, ATAF; 2023.
53. OECD. Model tax convention on income and on capital 2024 update. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
54. United Nations. Practical manual on transfer pricing for developing countries. 3rd ed. New York: United Nations; 2024.
55. OECD. Tax treaty relief and withholding procedures review 2024. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
56. European Commission. FASTER proposal: Faster and safer relief of excess withholding taxes. Brussels: European Commission; 2023.
57. OECD. TRACE implementation package Authorised intermediary system. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
58. IMF. International tax policy and administration in developing economies 2024 survey. Washington (DC): International Monetary Fund; 2024.
59. Garriga P, Tortarolo D. Firms as tax collectors. *Journal of Public Economics*. 2024;233:105092.
60. United Nations ESCAP. Tax compliance: Factors affecting tax compliance in developing countries - policy brief. Bangkok: ESCAP; 2024.
61. OECD. Tax administration 2024: Comparative information on OECD and partner economies. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.

- OECD Publishing; 2024.
62. World Bank. Fairness and compliance attitudes in developing economies. Washington (DC): World Bank; 2024.
 63. OECD. Digitalisation and tax administration. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
 64. OECD. Tax administration 2024 (full report). Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
 65. Garriga P, Tortarolo D. Firms as tax collectors. *Journal of Public Economics*. 2024;233:105092.
 66. International Monetary Fund (IMF). Citizens' perceptions of tax authorities and tax efficiency in Africa. Washington (DC): International Monetary Fund; 2024 Nov.
 67. International Monetary Fund (IMF). Citizens' perceptions of tax authorities and tax efficiency in Africa (see ref 66). Washington (DC): International Monetary Fund; 2024.
 68. PwC Nigeria. Tax policy outlook 2024: Managing refunds and cash-flow under withholding regimes. Lagos: PwC; 2024.
 69. KPMG. Global withholding tax rates and compliance survey 2024. London: KPMG International; 2024.
 70. International Monetary Fund (IMF). Technical note: Understanding artificial intelligence in tax and customs administration. Washington (DC): International Monetary Fund; 2024 Nov.
 71. International Monetary Fund (IMF). Working paper: Offshore tax evasion in developing countries and withholding taxes. UNU-WIDER Working Paper 2024/15; 2024.
 72. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Tax administration 2024: Comparative information on OECD and partner economies. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
 73. International Monetary Fund (IMF). Fiscal monitor 2024: Strengthening tax capacity in developing countries. Washington (DC): International Monetary Fund; 2024.
 74. Federal Inland Revenue Service (FIRS). TaxPro Max: Digital tax administration report 2024. Abuja: FIRS; 2024.
 75. PwC Nigeria. Tax policy outlook 2024: Managing refunds and compliance. Lagos: PwC; 2024.
 76. International Monetary Fund (IMF). Fiscal monitor 2024 (see ref 73). Washington (DC): International Monetary Fund; 2024.
 77. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Tax administration: Digitalisation and data. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
 78. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Digitalisation and tax administration. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
 79. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Tax administration 2024 (full report). Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
 80. African Tax Administration Forum (ATAF). Digital compliance hub launch report 2024. Pretoria: ATAF; 2024.
 81. International Monetary Fund (IMF). Capacity development report 2024: Enhancing tax and customs automation in Africa. Washington (DC): International Monetary Fund; 2024.
 82. African Development Bank (AfDB). Digital transformation for tax compliance in Africa 2024. Abidjan: AfDB; 2024.
 83. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Model tax convention on income and on capital 2024 update. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
 84. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Tax treaty relief and withholding procedures review 2024. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
 85. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). TRACE implementation package—Authorised intermediary system. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
 86. United Nations. UN model double taxation convention 2021 update (with 2024 commentary). New York: United Nations; 2024.
 87. African Tax Administration Forum (ATAF). Working group on tax treaty administration progress report 2024. Pretoria: ATAF; 2024.
 88. African Tax Administration Forum (ATAF). African tax outlook 2024. Pretoria: ATAF; 2024.
 89. African Development Bank (AfDB). Domestic revenue mobilization in Africa 2024 report. Abidjan: AfDB; 2024.
 90. International Monetary Fund (IMF). Capacity development report 2024 (see ref 81). Washington (DC): International Monetary Fund; 2024.
 91. World Bank. Improving tax administration and compliance through withholding at source. Washington (DC): World Bank; 2024.
 92. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Adaptive compliance and risk-based enforcement in tax administration 2024 briefing note. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
 93. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Behavioural insights and tax compliance: 2024 update. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
 94. International Monetary Fund (IMF). Revenue mobilization in developing economies: Policy challenges and options. Washington (DC): International Monetary Fund; 2024.
 95. International Monetary Fund (IMF). Revenue mobilization report 2024: Trends in withholding tax collections. Washington (DC): International Monetary Fund; 2024.
 96. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Tax administration 2024: Comparative information on OECD and partner economies. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
 97. African Development Bank (AfDB). Domestic revenue mobilization in Africa 2024 report. Abidjan: AfDB; 2024.
 98. Federal Inland Revenue Service (FIRS). Guidelines on the administration of withholding tax in Nigeria. Abuja: FIRS; 2024.
 99. PwC Nigeria. Tax policy outlook 2024: Managing refunds and compliance. Lagos: PwC; 2024.
 100. International Monetary Fund (IMF). Fiscal monitor

- 2024: Strengthening tax capacity in developing countries. Washington (DC): International Monetary Fund; 2024.
101. Uganda Revenue Authority (URA). VAT and withholding tax administration report 2024. Kampala: URA; 2024.
 102. Uganda Revenue Authority (URA). EFRIS performance bulletin 2024. Kampala: URA; 2024.
 103. African Tax Administration Forum (ATAF). African tax outlook 2024. Pretoria: ATAF; 2024.
 104. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD); World Bank Group (WBG); African Tax Administration Forum (ATAF). VAT digital toolkit for Africa. Paris/Washington/Pretoria: OECD, World Bank, ATAF; 2023.
 105. Inter-American Development Bank (IDB). Electronic invoicing and tax compliance in Latin America 2024. Washington (DC): IDB; 2024.
 106. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Digitalisation and tax administration. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
 107. International Monetary Fund (IMF). Capacity development report 2024: Enhancing tax and customs automation in Africa. Washington (DC): IMF; 2024.
 108. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Tax administration: Digitalisation and data. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
 109. World Bank. Improving tax administration and compliance through withholding at source. Washington (DC): World Bank; 2024.
 110. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Behavioural insights and tax compliance: 2024 update. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
 111. Saptono PB, Mahmud G, Salleh F, Pratiwi I, Purwanto D, Khozen I. Tax complexity and firm tax evasion: A cross-country investigation. *Economies*. 2024;12(5):97.
 112. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Tax morale II. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2022.
 113. Brockmeyer A, Mascagni G, Nair V, Waseem M, Almunia M. Does the value-added tax add value? Lessons using administrative data from a diverse set of countries. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*. 2024;38(1):107-132.
 114. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Behavioural insights and tax compliance: 2024 update. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
 115. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Tax treaty relief and withholding procedures review 2024. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.